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| Item | Version | Date | Document Name | Description | Submitted by: | Status |
| 1 | 1.1 | 8/24 | *4 – QIC Form 1: Technical Merit Worksheet*  Question 7 & 8 | Font caused issues on some computers. Replace font. | Subcommittee chairs | Completed |
| 2 | 2.0 | 11/10 | *3 – OSAC Registry Approval Process of Published Standards or Guidelines Process Description*, (RA-200) | Suggest that as forms route through Kavi approval, units annotate any changes they make to a form with an audit trail (i.e. if SAC provides comments to form, they should preface the change with “SAC/RA-XXX/YYYY-MM-DD” and insert the project stage at which the change was made as well as the date of the change) and that they upload new versions of forms as “revisions” in Kavi. | FSSB discussion | Completed |
| 3 | 2.0 | 11/10 | *3 – OSAC Registry Approval Process of Published Standards or Guidelines Process Description*, introductory language | Include language that the process starts with a “published or completed” standard or guideline. | Physics/SAC Discussion | Completed |
| 4 | 2.0 | 11/10 | All forms | Increase size of text box for titles of standards. | OSAC Affairs | Completed |
| 5 | 2.0 | 11/10 | *6 – QIC Form 3: Registry Request*, New Question | Insert question 6, asking “Have you collaborated with the Resource Committees on technical merit, harmonization, impact, or other considerations?” Include radio buttons allowing them to select which committees they have collaborated with. | FSSB, QIC, RC plenary, SAC discussions | Completed |
| 6 | 2.0 | 11/10 | *3 – OSAC Registry Approval Process of Published Standards or Guidelines Process Description*, (RA-375) | Analyze how the process should address packets that are being resubmitted (for the second time or beyond). Include language that indicates: “If the Subcommittee elects to resubmit the packet, they should begin the project again at RA-0, and be sure to include a documented response to the relevant ***QIC Template B:*** ***Justification for Non-Approval.”*** (ForthcomingKavi instructions will include that subcommittees should annotate the original project title number to include a “.2” if it is the second submission, “.3” if it is the third submission, etcetera.) | FSSB Discussion | Completed |
| 7 | 2.0 | 11/10 | *6 – QIC Form 3: Registry Request* | Make harmonization form optional. Language should say “If yes, complete the Harmonization Worksheet…” | OSAC Affairs | Completed |
| 8 | 2.0 | 11/10 | *B - QIC Template B: Justification for Non-Approval* | Revise to be more generic for use in multiple processes, to include the *OSAC Working with an SDO Process*. | OSAC Affairs | Completed |
| 9 | 3.0 | 11/10 | *4 – QIC Form 1: Technical Merit Worksheet*  Question 6, 13, and addition of a new question (inserted in front of Question 14; v2. Question 14 now becomes Question 15. | Reworded # 6,  From: “Does the document provide guidance on estimating uncertainty?”  To: “Does the document provide adequate guidance on (i) estimating the uncertainty of the resulting measurement(s) and/or (ii) uncertainty with regards to the conclusions ?”  Added additional clarifying language to #13  “If any OSAC member or unit has significant concerns to this document, the dissenting view(s) **must** be included here, written by the objecting member with the number of members that agree with the dissenting view(s).”  Renumbered #14 to #15.  Added new #14 to capture votes on Technical Merit.  SAC; # for\_\_\_\_\_\_\_; # against \_\_\_\_\_\_\_; # abstain \_\_\_\_\_\_  Subcommittee: # for \_\_\_\_ ; # against \_\_\_\_; #abstain\_\_\_\_\_  Document Task Group: # for \_\_\_; # against \_\_\_\_; #abstain \_\_\_\_\_ | QIC | Completed |