2nd Annual Atlas/NIST Workshop November 14, 2013

Quantifying PV Module Microclimates, and Translation into Accelerated Weathering Protocols

Along the path to a weathering standard

Nancy Phillips, 3M Kurt Scott, Atlas David Burns, 3M



- Background: PV weathering standards and related work efforts
- Part I. Microclimate analysis
- Part II. Translation to an accelerated weathering protocol

Qualification Plus discussion

PV Standards and Weathering

IEC 61730, 61215; UL 1703, 746C

- Different environmental stress conditions
- Very little UV exposure
- Field data demonstrating UV induced failures
- Numerous Standards efforts addressing weathering in development
 - IEC
 - 61730 ed 2 (MST-94)
 - 62788 (PV component standards)
 - Qualification Plus

PV Standards Weathering Efforts



Project Roadm	Check Point #1: Reviewed at IEC TC 82 WG2 ification Plus: est for Steady		
Phase 1. Baseline Information Defined	Phase 2: Improve; add protocols with cycling	Phase 3: Gather data	Phase 4
Machine Settings: - define related existing weathering standards - define target microenvironments - translate microenvironments to machine settings - select "top 10" list	Machine Settings: - Review/revise prior list - Develop protocols with cycling - Reselect "top 10" list	Review Data - PVQA testing - Outdoor data - Module data - other?	Machine Settings: - Review/revise prior list - Reselect "top 10" list - Recommend suite of weathering regimes (1-3) for inclusion in Backsheet Standard
Test Methods - list tests from backsheet standard draft - select "top 10" list	Test Methods - refine as needed - re-select "top 10" list	Test Methods Round Robin testing (TMs) Improve as needed	Test Methods Round Robin testing (weathering and TMs)
Sample Definition initial: glass/encapsulant/backsheet a) glass side (sun side) facing light source b) back side facing light source revise after "top 10" TM list is complete	Sample Definition Revise as needed Refine Sample Prep how to specify glass, encapsulant, lamination conditions	Sample Definition Revise as needed	Sample Definition Revise as needed

Qualification Plus

- Summarizes some test methods intended for incorporation into Standards
- Intended as an early adaptation of pending standard tests.
- Goals:
 - Detect product weaknesses observed in the field that might be overlooked or under queried by IEC 61215 and IEC 61730
 - Optimize these test procedures more fully before they become standards,
 - Allow manufacturers to begin to use the new tests in anticipation of the new standards, and
 - Provide customers with additional information for choosing products that will last longer in the field.



Part I. PV Microclimate Analysis – steady state

- Quantify the range of microclimates experienced by backsheets in a PV module, including:
 - Ambient Climate
 - Temperate => Sanary, France
 - Extreme (hot/dry) => Arizona
 - Extreme (hot/humid) => Miami
 - Contributions from the application (mounting type:
 - Roof (5°)
 - Rack (Latitude)
 - Track (2D tracking)

Weathering / Backsheet group discussions

	Name	Organization	Regulars	Test Methods and Sample Prep group
1	Axel.Borne	DuPont	х	х
2	Bill Brennan	DuPont-Teijin	х	х
3	Jim Bratcher	Honeywell	х	х
4	Kurt Scott	Atlas	х	х
5	Bill Gambogi	DuPont	х	х
6	Dave Burns	3M	х	
7	Marc Brandenburg	Furon	х	
8	Mike Kempe	N-REL	х	
9	Sean Fowler	Q-Lab	х	
10	Takao Amoka	Toray	х	
11	Greg O'Brien	Arkema		х
12	Chris Fluekinger	UL		
13	Howard Creel	3M		
14	Karlheinz Brust	Krempel		
15	Michael Koehl	Fraunhofer		
16	Nicolas Bogdanski	TUV-Rheinland		
17	Sarah Kurz	N-REL		
18	Tim Peshek	CWR University		
19	Tom Earnst (DuPont)	DuPont		

1. Irradiance Data

- Goal: establish references for:
 - Irradiance set points
 - Total Solar and TUV data
 - Backside versus Frontside irradiance levels

Maximum Irradiance Levels

Spectral Irradiance at 340 nm (W/m2/nm)

Data from:

- Handbook of Material Weathering, 3rd Ed., 2003
- Atlas Data
- Value depends on:
 - Latitude
 - Humidity/cloud cover/pollution
 - Lauder, New Zealand: → 0.91
 - Time of year
 - AZ, winter solstice \rightarrow 0.37
 - AZ, summer solstice → 0.70
 - Elevation
 - Boulder, CO, USA; September: → 0.90
 - Mauna Loa, HA, USA, June →1.03

Table 5.1 Spectral irradiance at 340 nm (examples of the highest recorded values)

Location	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation, m	Date	Irradiance, W m ⁻² nm ⁻¹	Ref.
Mawson, Antarctica	67.6°S	62.9°E		11-12-1990	0.28 ^a	9
McMurdo, Antactica	77.51°S	166.40°E		23-12-1992	0.33*	10
Palmer, Antarctica	64.8°S			14-23-1988	0.30	11
Uccle, Belgium	50.47°N	4.21°E	105	24-6-1994	0.39 ^b	6
Reading, UK				20-6-1995	0.36	12
Miami, Florida, USA	25.52°N	80.27°W	3	21-4-1999	0.59	13
Homestead, Florida, USA	25.46°N	80.45°W		24-4-1999	0.65	13
Phoenix, Arizona, USA	33.54°N	112.08°W	610	20-5-1999	0.70	13
Phoenix Arizona, USA	33.54°N	112.08°W	610	7-6-2000	0.70	13
Phoenix, Arizona, USA	33.54°N	112.08°W	610	28-9-1999	0.62	13
Phoenix, Arizona, USA	33.54°N	112.08°W	610	4-11-1998	0.50	13
Buckeye, Arizona, USA	33°N	113°W	890	30-9-1999	0.63	13
Mauna Loa, Hawai	19.533°N	155.578°W	3405	15-7-2000	0.9329	14
Mauna Loa, Hawai	19.533°N	155.578°W	3405	30-6-1988	1.029	14
Boulder, Colorado, USA	39.991°N	105.261°W	1730	9-7-2000	0.8962	14
Lauder, New Zealand	45.04°S	169.68°E	370	9-1-1998	0.980°	15
Lauder, New Zealand	45.04°S	169.68°E	370	29-12-1997	0.913 ^d	15
Jungfraujoch, Austria	46.548°N	7.9835°E	3576	24-6-1999	0.832	16

a - measured, b - recalculated from 325 nm, c - extreme, cloud enhanced, d - typical maximum, near clear sky

date	Season	Location	Solar 339-340 (W\m2\nm)
3/21/2001	Spring\Fall Equinoxes	Phoenix	0.63
6/21/2001	Summer Solstice	Phoenix	0.7
12/21/2001	Winter Solstice	Phoenix	0.37
3/21/2001	Spring\Fall Equinoxes	Miami	0.64
6/21/2001	Summer Solstice	Miami	0.69
12/21/2001	Winter Solstice	Miami	0.52
3/21/2013	Spring Equinox	Sanary	0.58
6/21/2013	Summer Solstice	Sanary	0.7
1/21/2013	Winter Solstice	Sanary	0.34

1 Sun, 340nm 0.5 W/m2/nm

```
"Typical Max" 340nm
0.7 W/m2/nm
```

Total Solar and TUV Cocoa Beach, Florida Sunny and Cloudy days in August

TUV as % of Total Solar constant during peak hours

	10A - 4P	10A - 4P	A - 4P Full day 10A-4P	
	% of TS	% of TUV	TUV % of TS	TUV % of TS
12-Aug	72	72	5.2	5.2
24-Aug	84	83	5.7	5.6
month	73	73	5.5	5.5



Time

Annual Total Solar, TUV

			front side		
Location	Appl. Type	Max Irr @340 nm	AnnualTotal Solar (mod) (MJ/m2)	Annual TUV (MJ/m2)	
Desert (Phoenix)	lat-rack	0.7	8612	347	
Desert (Phoenix)	tra ck		11948	538	
Desert (Phoenix)	roof		7850	353	
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	lat-rack	0.7	6848	322	
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	tra ck		9481	446	
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	roof		6116	287	
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Rack	0.69	6750	334	
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Track		8711	415	
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Roof		6475	334	
	TS data (modeled) from Medianorm 7.0				
	%TUV not readily available for tracking; used 5° value				
	Phoenix and Miami are 10 year average values				
	Sarany data is from 2010.2013				

Backside versus Frontside Irradiance Levels



BACKSIDE IRRADIANCE DATA:

- 3M, May 2011 at DSET:
 - TS backside as % of TS front side = ~10%
 - TUV backside as % of TUV front side = ~7%

DuPont:

- TUV backside as % of TUV front side = 12%
- Fraunhofer: %TUV varies between
 - 5% for 23° (south-oriented POA) and
 - 20% (vertical mounting) of the horizontal UV irradiation.

Miami, Phoenix, and Sanary Irradiance

			front side		Annual	TUV Bksid % Albedo	le MJ/m2 =
Location	Appl. Type	Max Irr @340 nm	AnnualTotal Solar (mod) (MJ/m2)	Annual TUV (MJ/m2)	5%	10%	20%
Desert (Phoenix)	lat-rack	0.7	8612	347	17	35	69
Desert (Phoenix)	tra ck		11948	538	27	54	108
Desert (Phoenix)	roof		7850	353	18	0	0
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	lat-rack	0.7	6848	322	16	32	64
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	tra ck		9481	446	22	45	89
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	roof		6116	287	14	0	0
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Rack	0.69	6750	334	17	33	67
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Track		8711	415	21	41	83
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Roof		6475	334	17	0	0

2. Temperature Data

Goal: Establish reference temperatures for each microclimate

- Looking for a temperature that will
 - Accelerate actual degradation mechanisms As high as possible
 - Limit mechanisms that do not occur
 But not too high

Phoenix Ambient Temperatures

PHOENIX T(AMB) OVER 1 YEAR. DAILY, AND CUMULATIVE TMAX



- For Translation to Accelerated Weathering Setpoint T:
 - Philosophy: run at or near maximum conditions all the time
- What is a maximum Temperature?
- Consider concept of "Typical Max T"
 - 85%ile T:
 - For Phoenix: Typical Max T(amb) = 40°C

Arizona and Florida Temperatures Ambient and Rack Mounted Modules





Ambient, Black Panel Temperature Data Phoenix, Sanary, Miami over 1 year: hourly, cumulative hours



Phoenix Module Temperatures, Measured and Modeled

Temperature of Buildling Applied Photovoltaic BAPV Modules: Air Gap Effects (Tamizhmania et al)

Mani's paper	Roof			
Tmodule (max) obs.	92.73C			
Roof model, no wind	Tm= 0.05(Irrad) + 0.64*Tamb+15.82			
	Ir	Tamb	Wind speed	
	993.5	41.9	1.2	
Tmodule (max))calc.	89.9			

Highest Tmod

Date	10/17/2009
Time	12:42
Tamb (°C)	41.9
Irradiance (W/m2	993.5
Wind Speed (m/s)	1.2
module T	92.7
ΔΤ	50.8

Phoenix Calc Tmodule (max):
Tmodule, Roof: 90°C Tmodule, Rack: 70°C Tmodule, Track: 70°C

Evaluation of High Temperature Exposure of Rack Mounted Photovoltaic Modules (King Model)

NREL 2009 paper, Max Tmod (location not specified)	Rack	Tmax=75C	
	Roof	Tmax=96C	
Tmodule, using Mani's data:			
Rack Model, Tm=Tamb + I	73-0.0594*WS)	72.7	
Roof Model, Tm=Tamb + Irr*e(-2.98-0.0471*			89.6



Phoenix Rack Mounted Modules: Logical Temperature Setpoint Options

- NREL model, w Tamb (max)
- Actual Tmod (max)
- "Average" Tmod (max)
- "Typical Max" BPT (85th%)



"Logical" Temperature Descriptors:

BPT, and Calculated from "Typical Max Tamb"

- Rack Mounted:
 - A. Modeled T(amb) = "Typical Max"
 B. 85th %ile BPT.

Rack Mount	lr	"Typical Max" Tamb	Tmodule (Calc w/ Typ max T)	85th %ile BPT
Sanary	967	27	57.0	44
Phoenix	994	42	72.7	55
Miami	1050	33	65.6	49

Rack Model, Tm=Tamb + Irr*e(-3.473-0.0594*WS)

- Roof Mounted:
 - Model with Typical Max

Roof Mount	lr	"Typical Max" Tamb	Tmodule (Calc w/ Typ max)			
Sanary	943	27	80.3			
Phoenix	994	42	92.3			
Miami	950	33	84.4			

Roof Model: Tm=0.05(*Irrad*) + 0.64**Tamb*+15.82

Microclimate descriptors: UV and T

			front sid	Annual TUV Bkside MJ/m2 % Albedo =				
Location	Appl. Type	Max Irr @340 nm	AnnualTotal Solar (mod) (MJ/m2)	Annual TUV (MJ/m2)	5%	10%	20%	Module T (°c)
Desert (Phoenix)	lat-rack	0.7	8612	347	17	35	69	59-73
Desert (Phoenix)	tra ck		11948	538	27	54	108	
Desert (Phoenix)	roof		7850	353	18	0	0	92
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	lat-rack	0.7	6848	322	16	32	64	44-57
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	tra ck		9481	446	22	45	89	
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	roof		6116	287	14	0	0	80
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Rack	0.69	6750	334	17	33	67	49-66
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Track		8711	415	21	41	83	
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Roof		6475	334	17	0	0	84

3. Humidity Data

Goal: Establish humidity references for each microclimate

Relative Humidity: Some typical days

Using Moisture Content to understand microclimate humidity

Arizona			
Т	RH	g/M3	RH (est)
30	40	12.2	
40	20	10.3	
70		11	5.5
90		11	2.6
Miami			
Т	RH	g/M3	RH (est)
22	95	16.5	
35	45	17.9	
70		17	8.6
90		17	4.1

Ref: Absolute Humidity at 85/85 = 299 g/M3

Arizona, July 2013

Ambient Temperature

20.00

15.00

10.00



RH @Tmax = 45% = 17.9 g/m3

- Relative Humidity

30.00

20.00

24

Microclimate Descriptors: UV, T, Humidity

			front side		Annual TUV Bkside MJ/m2 % Albedo =				
Location	Appl. Type	Max Irr @340 nm	AnnualTotal Solar (mod) (MJ/m2)	Annual TUV (MJ/m2)	5%	10%	20%	Module T (°c)	Abs. Hum. (g/M ³)
Desert (Phoenix)	lat-rack	0.7	8612	347	17	35	69	59-73	11
Desert (Phoenix)	tra ck		11948	538	27	54	108		
Desert (Phoenix)	roof		7850	353	18	0	0	92	
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	lat-rack	0.7	6848	322	16	32	64	44-57	
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	tra ck		9481	446	22	45	89		
Temperate (Sanary, FR)	roof		6116	287	14	0	0	80	
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Rack	0.69	6750	334	17	33	67	49-66	17
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Track		8711	415	21	41	83		
Hot/Wet (Miami)	Roof		6475	334	17	0	0	84	

Part II. Translation into artificial weathering set points

A simple task...

From "Handbook of Material Weathering, 4th Ed", Wypych:

- Many scientists have been seeking the Ultimate Enlightenment, the meaning of life, the elixir of youth, the missing link, or the perfect unified field theory.
- On a more mundane level, the weathering researcher is seeking the instant weathering predictor.
- With this amazing device, the investigator would place a sample in the machine, switch on, and come back next day to:
 - a) find out how long the final product will last, having been stressed in every possible way, in every part of the world, under the sea, on mountain top, in the desert, in polluted cities, in space, in the tropics, in the arctic, in thunderstorms, in hurricanes, and in all seasons.
 - b) The machine tells you how the product will fail, and
 - c) how to fix it.

Qualification Plus (Backsheet Section) US members of IEC Backsheet / Weathering Group, Plus

- Kurt Scott Atlas
- Sean Fowler Q-Lab
- Nancy Phillips 3M
- Bill Gambogi DuPont
- Tom Earnest DuPont
- Jim Bratcher Honeywell
- Greg O'Brien Arkema
- Mike Kempe NREL
- John Wohlgemuth NREL
- Sarah Kurtz NREL

Accelerated Weathering Testing

For Product Development:

- A. Screening Tests
 - Highly Accelerated Tests
 - No materials understanding used
 - False positives/negatives tolerated
- B. Material Specific Testing
 - Shift from <u>Highly Accelerated Tests</u>
 - To <u>Thoughtfully Accelerated Tests</u>
 - Ser vice life perspective
 - Philosophy: understand failure modes, run at maximum conditions where the same failure modes are observed as in the field

For Standards:

. "Agnostic Testing"

- Materials Independent
- Gives some confidence that a new product will work during its service life
- Example: encapsulants
 - EVA, polyolefins, silicones, new ideas
 - Anything that will work in the field should pass the test
- Philosophy: run at or near typical maximum values observed in the application
 - Rule of thumb: limit acceleration to 10X
 - 25 years 🗲 2.5 years!
 - Delta testing: Up to 10x: look for change, as opposed to a failure
 - Accelerating >10x, expect errors; may see failures that don't occur in real world

Arriving at a steady state artificial weathering exposure recommendation...

1. Define the target climate

- a) Start with an easy climate (Sanary used as benchmark)
- b) Start with an aggressive climate (Phoenix)
- 2. Be mindful of "acceleration factors" (more than 10X risks faulty conclusions)

Temperature:

Acceleration is dependent on activation energies; for Tave = 29C

• 70 °C: 10x - 100x, 90 °C: 20x - 1000x

- 3. Utilize "Typical Max" values for Irr. and T settings, double Abs. Humidity
- 4. Time: Constrain exposure time to < 6 month (= 4000 h exposure)
- 5. Established Standards: use as reference
 - ASTM D7869: Max Setpoint: 0.8 W/m2/nm, ChT 50°C, BPT 70°C, 50%RH, 4200 h
- 6. User capability:
 - Settings must be within capabilities of "Relatively Common" weathering machines
 - Minimize the number of machine set points needed

Backside 25 year doses

Phoenix, l						
		dosage (kJ)	hours to d	ose at Xe (340/DF)	
% Albedo	25 yr TUV (MJ/m2)	340 equivalent (MJ)	0.35	0.55	0.8	
10	868	12.1	9641	6135	4218	
12	1041	14.6	11567	7361	5060	
20	1735	24.3	19278	12268	8434	
			dosage (k.	3.6*hours		
			hours=dos	<i>N</i> /m2)		

QUESTION: Which, or how many, microclimate targets?

- Option 1: an "easy" climate (Sanary Mediterranean)
- Option 2: must work in all climates
- Option 3: what's reasonable to do in 6 months?
- Option 4: differentiate, for example:
 - Arizona Roof: high T, no backside UV
 - Arizona Rack: high backside UV, lower T

Qualification Plus: Backsheet Weathering Recommendations

Machine Setpoints

Frontside

- Xe(340) o.8 W/m2/nm/ 50C ChT, 50%RH, 70C BPT.
- Exposure time: max out at 6 months real time = 4000 h

Backside

- Xe(340) o.8 W/m2/nm/ 50C ChT, 50%RH, 70C BPT.
- Exposure time: aiming for 25 years dosage, = 4000 h
 - UV Dosage ~ 25 years, Arizona, 10% Albedo

Weathering Samples

OPTIONS:

- **1. Coupon #1:** A "matched component " coupon (Glass/Encapsulant/Encapsulant/Backsheet
 - Who's choosing the materials?
 - selected by the component supplier for data sheets
 - Selected by the module manufacturer for qualification
 - Test data includes:
 - encapsulant name and UV transmittivity.
 - Evaluation tests on next page
- 2. Coupon #2: G/E/E/TRL/BS
- 3. 1 cell mini-module
- **4. Backsheet only** (not under consideration, except as in coupon #2)

Evaluation tests:

- A. Coupon #1: Glass/E/E/BS Coupon tests (2 different samples front side exposure, backside exposure)
 - visual inspection for cracking (front side and backside?)
- B. Coupon #2: Glass/e/e/trl/bs (2 different samples frontside and backside exposure)
 - yellowing
 - T&E,
 - Pass fail criteria options:

70% retention of initial values? Or, minimum value – how to correlate with the actual stresses in a module
 C. Mini-module tests

- 1 cell mini module
 - Wet hi-pot
 - Visual

D. Backsheet only

- Options to offer to reviewers
 - A
 - A&B
 - A,B,D
 - C
 - A&B OR just C