engineering laboratory



Chapter 10. Metrics

Presented by: Frank Lavelle Applied Research Associates, Inc.



Community Resilience Metrics

Two fundamental questions:

- How resilient is our community now?
- Will our efforts to improve resilience make a significant difference?



Community Resilience Metrics

 Communities require metrics that can be used to assess planning decisions about the built environment:

- Siting, Design, Construction
- Operation, Maintenance, Protection
- Repair and Restoration





Three Primary Types of Metrics

Recovery times



Economic vitality



Social well-being



engineering laboratory



Recovery Times

 Easy to grasp as goals, but difficult to predict with confidence

- Often estimated through some combination of simplified modeling, past experience, and/or expert opinion
- Recovery times for services supported by the built environment have a direct impact on the economic vitality and social well-being of the community



Economic Vitality

Ability to ...

- Attract and retain businesses and jobs
- Maintain and grow the tax base
- Reduce poverty
- Provide services and amenities
- Maintain a vibrant and thriving economy



Social Well-being

Ability to meet human needs:

- Survival
 - Water, food, clothing, shelter
- Safety and security
 - Personal safety, economic security, health
- Sense of belonging
 - Civic participation, social networks, trust in govt.
- Growth and achievement
 - Education, arts, recreation



Many Existing Methodologies

SPUR, Oregon

- UNISDR Disaster Resilience Scorecard
- CARRI Community Resilience System
- Communities Advancing Resilience Toolkit
- Baseline Resilience Indicators for Communities
- Rockefeller City Resilience Framework
- NOAA Coastal Resilience Index
- FEMA Hazus Methodology



Preliminary Assessment

Category	Sub-Category	SPUR	Oregon	Scorecard	CARRI CRS	CART	BRIC	CRF	CRI	Hazus
Scope	Community size	•	•	+	+	+	+	+	•	+
	Hazards	•	•	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	Recovery time scales	+	+	?	?	?	?	+	•	-
	Systems	+	+	?	+	-	-	+	•	•
	Interdependencies	•	•	?	+?	-	-	+?	-	-
Utility	User friendliness	•	•	+	+	+	+	•	+	•
-	Utility without SMEs available	-	-	+	•?	•?	•?	•	•?	•?
	Value of outputs for planning	+	+	•	?	?	?	+?	•	•?
	Consistency with PPD-21	+	+	•	+	+	•	•	•	-
Impacts	Recovery times	+	+	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	Economic impacts	•	+?	•	•	•	•	+?	-	•
	Social impacts	•	•	•	•	•	•	+?	•	•
Techniques Checklists		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	•
	Interviews, Surveys	-	-	-	•	+	-	+	•	•
	Ratings	+	+	+	•	+	-	+	•	+
	Existing national data sets	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
	Physical inspections	•	•	•	•	-	-	-	•	•
	Engrg. analysis or exp. opinion Statistical inference		+	•	•	-	-	-	•	+
	Simulations	•	•	-	•	-	-	-	-	++
Science									2	
Science	Maturity	+	+	•	+	-+	+	•	?	+
	Unique/innovative	+	•	•	+		+	• +?	-	+
	Objective/repeatable Scientific merit	● +?	● +?	•	• ?	• ?	+ ?	+? +?	- ?	++
		ΤI	ΤI	-	:	:	:	- T !	:	T

Symbol	Description
+	Addresses a broad range
•	Not inherently limited
-	Limitation
?	Additional info. required

+	High
•	Moderate
-	Low
?	Additional info. required

+	Explicitly assessed

- Partially/indirectly assessed
- Not assessed
- ? Additional info. required

+	Yes
•	Optional
_	No

? Additional info. required

+	Strength
•	Neither
-	Weakness
2	Additional info

? Additional info. required

Breakout Topics

- Purpose of metrics
- Types of metrics
- Presentation: aggregate score vs. dashboard





engineering

- Existing metrics
- Challenges
- Chapter 10 feedback

laboratory