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## Event Argument Task

## Event Argument Task

## In a document

- Identify what events occurred along with their type
- Identify key arguments (e.g. participants, dates, locations) and associate them with the correct events
- Provide arguments realis status (ACTUAL, OTHER, GENERIC)
- Group arguments into event hoppers

A separatist group called the Kurdistan Freedom Falcons (TAK) claimed responsibility for an explosion late on Monday which wounded six people, one of them seriously, in an Istanbul supermarket. Istanbul governor Muammer Guler told Anatolia news agency the explosion in the Bahcelievler district of Turkey's largest city injured six people. The agency said 15 other people had been hurt. "We consider the explosion that took place tonight in an Istanbul supermarket to be a response to the barbaric policies against the Kurdish people7

| Event2: | Role | Fillers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ATTACKER | TAK |
| Conflict. <br> Attack | TARGET | Six people 15 other people |
|  | PLACE | the Bahcelievler district Istanbul <br> An Istanbul supermarket |
|  | DATE | Monday (2006-02-13) |


| Event1: | Role | Fillers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Life.Injure | Agent | TAK |
|  | Victims | Six people <br> 15 other people |
|  | PLACE | the Bahcelievler district <br> Istanbul <br> An Istanbul supermarket |
|  | DATE | Monday (2006-02-13) |

## 2017 Event Ontology

| EAL Event Label (Type.Subtype) | Role | Allowable ARG <br> Entity/Filler Type | EAL Event Label (Type.Subtype) | Role | Allowable ARG Entity/Filler Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflict.Attack | Attacker | PER, ORG, GPE | Movement.TransportArtifact | Agent | PER, ORG, GPE |
|  | Instrument | WEA, VEH, COM |  | Artifact | WEA, VEH, FAC, COM |
|  | Target | PER, GPE, ORG, VEH, FAC, WEA, COM |  | Destination | GPE, LOC, FAC |
|  |  |  |  | Instrument | VEH, WEA |
| Conflict.Demonstrate | Entity | PER, ORG |  | Origin | GPE, LOC, FAC |
|  |  |  | Movement.Transport- | Agent | PER, ORG, GPE |
| Contact.Broadcast | Audience | PER, ORG, GPE | Person | Artifact | PER |
|  | Entity | PER, ORG, GPE | Personnel.Elect | Agent | PER, ORG, GPE |
| Contact.Contact | Entity | PER, ORG, GPE |  | Person | PER |
| Contact.Correspondence | Entity | PER, ORG, GPE |  | Position | Title |
| Contact.Correspondence |  |  | Personnel.End-Position | Entity | ORG, GPE |
| Contact. Meet | Entity | PER, ORG, GPE |  | Person | PER |
| Justice.Arrest-Jail | Agent | PER, ORG, GPE |  | Position | Title |
|  | Crime | Crime | Personnel.Start-Position | Entity | ORG, GPE |
|  | Person | PER |  | Person | PER |
| Life.Die | Agent | PER, ORG, GPE |  | Position | Title |
|  | Instrument | WEA, VEH, COM | Transaction.Transaction | Beneficiary | PER, ORG, GPE |
|  | Victim | PER |  | Giver | PER, ORG, GPE |
| Life.Injure | Agent | PER, ORG, GPE |  | Recipient | PER, ORG, GPE |
|  | Instrument | WEA, VEH, COM | Transaction.Transfer-Money | Beneficiary | PER, ORG, GPE |
|  | Victim | PER |  | Giver | PER, ORG, GPE |
| Manufacture.Artifact | Agent | PER, ORG, GPE |  | Money | MONEY |
|  | Artifact | VEH, WEA, FAC, COM |  | Recipient | PER, ORG, GPE |
|  | Instrument | WEA, VEH, COM | Transaction.TransferOwnership | Beneficiary | PER, ORG, GPE |
|  |  |  |  | Giver | PER, ORG, GPE |
|  |  |  |  | Recipient | PER, ORG, GPE |
|  |  |  |  | Thing | VEH, WEA, FAC, ORG,COM |

2017 Event Ontology

| EAL Event Label (Type.Subtype) | Role | Allowable ARG <br> Entity/Filler Type | EAL Event Label (Type.Subtype) | Role | Allowable ARG <br> Entity/Filler Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflict.Attack | Attacker | PER, ORG, GPE | Movement.Transport- <br> Artifact | Agent | PER, ORG, GPE |
|  | Instrument | WEA, VEH, COM |  | Artifact | WEA, VEH, FAC, COM |
|  | Target | PER, GPE, ORG, VEH, FAC, WEA, COM |  | Destination | GPE, LOC, FAC |
|  |  |  |  | Instrument | VEH, WEA |
| Conflict.Demonstrate | Entity | PER, ORG |  | Origin | GPE, LOC, FAC |
|  |  |  | Movement.Transport- | Agent | PER, ORG, GPE |
| Contact.Broadcast | Audience | PER, ORG, GPE | Person | Artifact | PER |
|  | Entity | PER, ORG, GPE | Personnel.Elect | Agent | PER, ORG, GPE |
| Contact.Contact | Entity | PER, ORG, GPE |  | Person | PER |

## ${ }^{\text {Contact.Correspo }}$ Event types and subtypes the same as:

Justice.Arrest-Jail

- Event nugget evaluation
- 2016 event argument evaluation

Life.Die
2-5 potential event-specific argument roles per event +
Life.Injure

Manufacture.Arti DATE \& LOCATION for all events

- Not all arguments need to be known
- Arguments can be
- Dates, EDL entity types, string fillers (e.g. crime)
- Named OR underspecified (e.g. the unnamed suspect)


## What is Required to Fill an Event Frame

1. Finding events, arguments, and their roles (2014 task)
A. Recognize the presence of the event $\rightarrow$ overlap with the event nugget task but no requirement that the exact phrase is found; instead allow sentence length justifications
B. Find a mention (base filler) where the participation in the event (along with the role) is clear $\rightarrow$ similar to mention level argument extraction as in event detection in ACE
C. Link the base filler to a canonical argument string $\rightarrow$ use within document coreference and temporal resolution; similar to ColdStart requirement that slot-fills reference a named entity (and not a local mention)
D. Assign a realis label to assertion about the event and argument $\rightarrow$ overlap with the event nugget task, but also incorporate understanding of the argument itself (e.g. failed participation)
2. Link the argument assertions such that arguments that correspond to the same "real world" event are grouped together (Added in 2015)

## Chronology of EAL Task

|  | Information Target | Scoring Method | Submission | Lang |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Table of arguments | Assessment | EAL file | En |
| 2015 | 1. Table of arg. + role <br> 2. Arg. + role grouped into frames | Assessment | EAL file | $\begin{aligned} & \text { En } \\ & \text { Ch } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2016 | 1. Table of arg. + role <br> 2. Arg. + role grouped into frames <br> 3. Corpus-level frame coreference | Gold Standard for 1 \& 2 <br> Assessment for 3 | EAL file | $\begin{aligned} & \text { En } \\ & \text { Ch } \\ & \text { Sp } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2017 | 1. Table of arg. + role <br> 2. Arg. + role grouped into frames | Gold Standard | EAL file <br> or <br> ColdStart++ <br> KB | En Ch Sp |

## 2017 Reference Data (1)

- Relied on the shared Rich ERE document set
- ~80 documents per language
- Languages differ in
- Total number of event hoppers
- Average number of arguments per hopper

|  |  |  | Avg. Arg. per |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \# Hop. | \# Arg. | Hopper |  |
| English | 2,952 | 7,845 | 2.7 |
| Chinese | 2,487 | 5,518 | 2.2 |
| Spanish | 2,049 | 5,917 | 2.9 |

Number of Hoppers and Arguments in the Gold Standard Reference

## 2017 Reference Data (2)

- With a few exceptions, relatively even distribution over 30 event types
- Broadcast and Attack events are particularly frequent in Chinese documents
- Overall, many event types each of which

Per-Type \% of Gold Standard Hoppers occurs at relatively low frequency

25\%


| Ev. Subtype | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transport-Person | 1,264 | 16 |
| Broadcast | 832 | 11\% |
| Transfer-M | 770 | 10\% |
| \% Arrest-Jail | 215 | \% |
| Injure | 88 | 1\% |
| Trans.Transa | 88 | 1\% |
| Broadcast | 1,047 | 19\% |
| Attack | 958 | 17\% |
| Transport-Person | 727 | 13\% |
| Cont.Contact | 82 | 1\% |
| Transaction | 57 | \% |
| Correspondence | 40 | 1\% |
| Transpo | 956 | 16 |
| Attack | 780 | 13\% |
| Broadcast | 700 | 12\% |
| Artifact | 123 | 2\% |
| $\sim$ Injure | 109 |  |
| Trans.Transaction | 91 | 2\% |
| Most \& Least Frequent Event Types of Event Argument Assertions |  |  |

# Participants \& Approaches 

## Participants \& Type of Submission

| Site | EN | CH | SP | Sub |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A2KD_Adept | X | X |  | CS++ |
| ISCAS_Sogou |  | X |  | CS++ |
| SAFT_ISI | X | X | X | CS++ |
| Tinkerbell | X | X | X | CS++ |
| BBN | X | X | X | EAL |
| BUPT_PRIS | X |  |  | EAL |
| CMU CS | X | X | X | EAL |


| Cold Start++ | EAL |
| :--- | :--- |
| July evaluation window | Sept evaluation window |
| Process full ColdStart corpus <br> (30K docs per language) | Process shared subset <br> $(\sim 80$ docs per language) |
| EAL valid files extracted from | EAL files submitted <br> directly by participant |
| KB by a NIST script | Only EAL performance is |
| Performance measured in <br> - Cold Start queries <br> - EDL |  |
| - EAL |  |

## Approaches to Argument Assertions

> ... She will attend the conference. Next week's meeting $\rightarrow$ (Contact.Meet, Participant, she=Marjorie Freedman, Other) (Contact.Meet, Date, next week=W48-207, Other)

- Finding arguments: typically, pipeline approach to (1) detect triggers and (2) find arguments, exceptions:
- BBN: joint inference over triggers and arguments by using a low threshold to over predict triggers
- BUPT_PRIS: joint-attention based model
- Resolving arguments (e.g. co-reference, date resolution)
- Ignored by some systems $\rightarrow$ hurts system performance
- Core NLP coreference used by many
- Labeling of actual, other, generic: Most used Rich ERE trained classifiers
- BBN: rules for actual vs. other
- Only Tinkerbell reports significant differences between languages
- Used English system on machine translations of Spanish

Approaches to Hoppers Varied
... She will attend the conference. Next week's meeting .... $\rightarrow$

Contact.Meet

* Participant, she=Marjorie Freedman, Other
* Date, next week=W48-207, Other
- Several relied on their event nugget co-reference
- BUPT, CMU_CS (some runs)
- Tinkerbell trained classifiers to produce similarity scores of nuggets
- BBN used a sieve based approach


## Evaluation Results

## Argument Score

- Align (EventSubtype, Role, Argument_Entity, Realis) assertions with gold standard
- Canonical Argument String serves as surrogate for Entity ID
- ArgScore: Error-based metric

| INJURE | VICTIM | At least six | Actual |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INJURE | VICTIM | six people | Actual |
| INJURE | PLACE | Bahcelievler <br> district | Actual |
| INJURE | PLACE | Istanbul | Actual |
| INJURE | DATE | Mon.(2006- <br> 02-13) | Actual |
| ATTACK | ATTACKER | TAK | Actual |
| ATTACK | TARGET | At least six | Actual |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |

- Each document: $T P(d)-\beta F P(d)$
- Over corpus:
$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{d \in D}[\max (0, \arg (d))]$


## English Argument Scores



## Chinese Argument Scores



## Spanish Argument Scores



## Linking (Hopper) Score

- Compare system hoppers with gold standard hoppers with $\mathrm{B}^{\wedge} 3$
- Like argument score, measured at entity (and not mention) level
- Scoring of Hoppers
- Ignores argument false positives

| Event1 | Role | Fillers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Agent | TAK |
| Life. | Victims | Six people <br> Injure |
|  | PLACE | other people <br> the Bahcelievler district <br> Istanbul <br> An Istanbul supermarket |
|  | DATE | Monday (2006-02-13) |

- Limited by system recall

| Event2: | Role | Fillers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ATTACKER | TAK |
| Conflict | TARGET | Six people <br> 15 other people |
|  | PLACE | the Bahcelievler district <br> Istanbul |
|  | DATE | An Istanbul supermarket |

## English Linking (Hopper) Scores



## Chinese Linking (Hopper) Scoresß



## Spanish Linking (Hopper) Scores



Analysis of Argument Scores


## Precision and Recall



## ColdStart++ vs. EAL Only



## Performance Across Languages (1)

|  | Arg. Precision \& Recall: Chin <br> Precision <br> Chinese slightly outperforms English <br> - Across systems <br> - For precision and recall |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recall |  | Ch | En |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | A-EA |  |  |
| Arg. Precision \& Recall: English |  |  | 24 | 23 |
| 60 Precision |  | B-CS | 23 | -- |
| 40 | Recall | C-CS | 14 | 13 |
|  | Recall | D-EA | 12 | 10 |
| 20 |  | E-CS | 12 | 2 |
| 0 | $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square-$ | F-CS | 11 | 7 |
|  |  | G-EA | -- | 5 |

## Performance Across Languages (2)

Spanish performance lags English

- Across systems
- Especially for recall

Why?

- Less training data
- Less accurate linguistic processing (parsing, coreference, etc.)
- Characteristic of test set
- Properties of language




## Performance Across Languages (3)

Argument F1

|  | Ch | En | Sp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-EA | $\underline{\mathbf{2 4}}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{8}}$ |
| B-CS | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | -- | -- |
| C-CS | 14 | 13 | -- |
| D-EA | 12 | 10 | 4 |
| E-CS | 12 | 2 | 0 |
| F-CS | 11 | 7 | 3 |
| G-EA | -- | 5 | -- |

- System rank is relatively constant across languages
- At current performance levels, techniques transfer relatively well between languages
- But, current performance levels are low in absolute terms


## Actual vs. Other vs. Generic

Arg. Precision \& Recall: Chinese


Arg. Precision \& Recall: English


■ With Realis

- Ignore Realis


Arg. Precision \& Recall: Spanish


Ignoring realis distinction (actual, generic, other)

- Improves precision \& recall
- Improves performance in all languages
- But, absolute performance remains low (i.e. F1: ~30 for top performing EN \& CH)


## What's Next?

- 2018 is TBD
- 2014-2017 EAL tasks have resulted in
- More training data (RichERE)
- A scoring package that measure event argument performance at the level of a KB assertion
- https://github.com/isi-nlp/tac-kbp-eal
- Two shared tests sets
- What would help improve system performance?
- Are people interested in this task outside of TAC
- Would it help to share 2016 and 2017 system output for future comparison?
- Hosted with scorer?

