

# TRAINEE EVALUATIONS

## Workshop Objectives and Organization

1. How would you rate:	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excellent	Percent Responding Good to Excellent
Achievement of the workshop objectives?	0	0	1	7	3	100%
Achievement of your expectations?		1	3	3	5	92%
Contact with you prior to workshop?		1	1	3	6	91%
The organization of the workshop?		1	1	2	8	92%
Session leadership?			2	3	6	100%
Amount of time set aside for interaction with peers?	2	3	2	1	3	55%
Amount of time set aside for interaction with panelists?	2	4	1	4	1	50%
Amount of time for interaction with communications personnel?	2	3	3	3	1	58%
Amount of time set aside for interaction with the "masters"?	4	1	3	1	3	58%
Amount of time set aside for yourself?	3	5	0	3	1	33%
	<b>Too Short</b>		<b>Long Enough</b>		<b>Too Long</b>	
2. How would you rate the length of the workshop?	2		7		2	
	<b>Yes</b>			<b>No</b>		
3. Would you or your manager have been prepared to pay for such training?	8			4		
	<b>\$0-499</b>	<b>\$500-999</b>	<b>\$1000-1499</b>	<b>\$1500-2000</b>	<b>\$2000+</b>	
4. What would you estimate the training was worth monetarily?	5	2	0	2	1	
5. What would you suggest be changed for future courses/workshops of this type?	<p>Have french and english training separate; extend length of workshop - <b>too much info packed into too short a time period; more time for questions<sup>1</sup></b>; presentations were NOT targeted - too general; follow-up on relaxation and speech training; <b>not enough time</b>.</p> <p>Suggest a workshop where trainees transform one of their publications into a science "story"; include other types of communications - less media focus; more practical - less policy and gov't organization; reduce regional comm.</p> <p>Focus on key science/communications issues in EC; <b>Slow down</b> the pace.</p>					

<sup>1</sup>Bolded comments most frequently made.