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Background

The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), with organizational assistance from Advanced Resources International, Inc. (ARI), sponsored the recent "Workshop on Costs of CO2 Transport and Storage". The Workshop was held in Palo Alto, California on March 17th and 18th, 2009.

The purpose of the Workshop was to gain up-to-date perspectives on: (1) recent experiences and cost information for transporting CO2 from a power plant gate to a geological storage site; (2) updates on the costs of installing and operating a CO2 storage facility; (3) updates on the costs of implementing a comprehensive CO2 storage monitoring system; and, (4) the need for and costs of a reliable remediation plan for addressing CO2 injection well or other problems associated with CO2 storage.
Background

The workshop was organized according to six topics, as follows:

• Session #1: Integrated Capture, Transport and Storage Modeling
• Session #2: Cost of Compression and Transportation
• Session #3: Cost of CO2 Storage Site Selection, Appraisal and Modeling
• Session #4: Cost of Designing, Constructing and Operating CO2 Storage
• Session #5: Cost of CO2 Storage Monitoring
• Session #6: Cost of CO2 Storage Remediation and Mitigation

The highlights from the various presentations and the subsequent extensive participant discussion during the Workshop have been documented in a Summary Report for EPRI.
Session #2: Cost of Compression and Transportation  
Tuesday, March 17, 2009, 10:30AM – 12:00 Noon

The purpose of this second workshop session was to discuss and set forth methodology for calculating the capital and operating costs of CO₂ transportation systems, including taking a look at advances in CO₂ compression technology that may influence future costs.

Of particular interest was the discussion on: (1) the economies of scale for CO₂ transportation; (2) how incorporation of special features (e.g., river crossings) affects costs; and, (3) how to make optimum trade offs between size of pipe and booster compression.

Two presentations were provided on these important topics during Session #2, followed by Open Discussion:

- Costs of CO₂ Transportation Systems (Kinder Morgan), K. Havens (45 min)
- Advanced CO₂ Compression Systems (RAMGEN), P. Baldwin (15 min)
- Open Discussion w/K. Havens as Discussion Leader (25 min)
EPRI Workshop
March 17, 2009

Costs of CO$_2$ Transmission Systems

Ken Havens
Director of Source and Transportation
Domestic CO₂ Industry Operational Achievements

Over the past 30+ years, the oil and gas industry has:

- Produced and safely transported more than 11 TCF of CO₂ from 7 sources.
  - 1.2 TCF of which came from sources that otherwise would have been vented.

- Constructed over 3100 miles of CO₂ mainline pipeline systems.

- Produced in excess of 1.2 billion barrels of incremental oil.

- Secured operating practices of:
  - Corrosion management, Metallurgies, Elastomers
  - Separation, Dehydration and Hydrocarbon extraction
  - Compression/pumping
  - Injection and production well completion and operation
KMCO₂ FACILITIES

- 1,200 miles of pipeline
- Oldest CO₂ pipeline (1974), Canyon Reef Carriers PL
- Largest CO₂ pipeline 30” OD Cortez Pipeline (1.35 BCFD)
- Most installed HP
  - Compression (6 stations)  70,000
  - Pumps (6 stations)        40,000

Map showing KMCO₂ facilities in the United States, including pipelines and infrastructure.
CO$_2$ vs Gas Pipelines

- Use same steel metallurgy as Natural Gas Pipelines
  - Keep CO$_2$ dry
- Higher operating pressures
  - Gas – 600 psig to 1200 psig
  - CO$_2$ – 2000 to 3000 psig
  - Why? Maintain CO$_2$ in dense phase (>1300 psig) to allow pumping rather than compression.
- Pumps rather than compression
  - Energy savings
- CO$_2$ - PHMSA regulated under CFR Part 195, “Transportation of Hazardous Liquids by Pipeline”
Environmental Health and Safety

• CO2 pipelines are protected from damage by
  – 24 hour monitoring by Control Center
  – Membership in statewide one-call
  – Compliance with Common Ground Alliance
    Best Practices
  – Patrolled by air 26 times per year

• CO2 pipelines are protected from corrosion by:
  – Annual pipe to soil survey of pipeline
  – Five year cycle of Close Interval Surveys
  – Assessments of High Consequence Areas under Pipeline Integrity
    Management program
Pipeline Integrity Management

• Assess, evaluate, repair and validate the integrity of the pipeline systems to meet or exceed the requirements of CFR Part 195.452, Pipeline Integrity Management

• Worked with PHMSA to utilize External Corrosion Direct Assessment to assess High Consequence areas

• Worked with high-resolution Magnetic Flux Tool manufacturers to develop pig to run in CO$_2$

• Completed high-resolution Magnetic Flux Tool run in November 2007 on the oldest CO$_2$ PL
CRC Pipeline Hydrotest

- 36 days out of service
- Tested 131 miles of 16” pipeline
- Raised MOP 1792 to 2025
CO₂ Pipeline Specifications

Following are specifications for CO₂ pipeline quality CO₂.

9.1 Specifications. The Product delivered by Seller or Seller’s representative to Buyer at the Delivery Point shall meet the following specifications, which herein are collectively called “Quality Specifications”:

(a) **Product.** Substance containing at least ninety-five mole percent (95%) of Carbon Dioxide.

(b) **Water.** Product shall contain no free water, and shall not contain more than thirty (30) pounds of water per mmcf in the vapor phase.

(c) **Hydrogen Sulfide.** Product shall not contain more than twenty (20) parts per million, by weight, of hydrogen sulfide.

(d) **Total Sulfur.** Product shall not contain more than thirty-five (35) parts per million, by weight, of total sulfur.

(e) **Temperature.** Product shall not exceed a temperature of one hundred twenty degrees Fahrenheit (120°F).

(f) **Nitrogen.** Product shall not contain more than four mole percent (4%) of nitrogen.

(g) **Hydrocarbons.** Product shall not contain more than five mole percent (5%) of hydrocarbons and the dew point of Product (with respect to such hydrocarbons) shall not exceed minus twenty degrees Fahrenheit (-20°F).

(h) **Oxygen.** Product shall not contain more than ten (10) parts per million, by weight, of oxygen.

(i) **Other.** Product shall not contain more than 0.3 (three tenths) gallons of glycol per MMcf and at no time shall such glycol be present in a liquid state at the pressure and temperature conditions of the pipeline.
Pipeline Costs

100 miles of 24” pipe line (500 MMCFD)
- Flat Dry Land $120,000,000
- Mountains $204,000,000
- High Populated Urban $250,000,000
- Offshore 150 – 200 ft. $1,680,000,000

Compression - 5,000 HP Electric Drive $10,000,000

Pumps - 4,000 HP Electric Drive $8,000,000

Measurement Station (500 MMCFD) $500,000
Lessons Learned from the Gas Storage Industry
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What Lessons Have We Learned From the Gas Storage Industry?

The oldest U.S. gas storage site is the Zoar field, a depleted gas reservoir located south of Buffalo, NY. It has been in operation since 1916 and is still in use today.

The U.S. has 400 active underground gas storage facilities, with 43 of these aquifers, holding 8.4 trillion cubic feet (140 million metric tons of CH$_4$, equal to 380 million metric tons of CO$_2$). Annually, 3 to 4 Tcf of natural gas are injected and withdrawn, equal to 160 million metric tons of CO$_2$.

Worldwide there are 634 underground gas storage facilities:

- 83.5% in depleted oil/gas fields
- 12.6% aquifers
- 3.9% salt caves/abandoned mines
What Lessons Have We Learned From the Gas Storage Industry?

- Lesson #1. The Operation of Underground Natural Gas Storage Has Been Extremely Safe.
- Lesson #2. Improperly Selected Storage Sites With Caprock Problems Have Led to Gas Leakage.
- Lesson #3. Extensive Use of Monitoring Wells Is Used to Detect Loss of Gas from the Storage Structure.
- Lesson #4. Improper Well Plugging, Defective Casing and Poor Cement Placement Can Lead to Gas Leakage.
- Lesson #5. It May Be Possible to Improve the Injectivity of Lower Permeability Storage Sites With “New and Novel” Well Stimulation Technologies.