OFFICIAL ABSENTEE BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 7, 2000
TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

0 36

TO VOTE: COMPLETE THE ARROW(S) ◄ ■ POINTING TO YOUR CHOICE(S), LIKE THIS: ◄—■

USE A #2 PENCIL (NO INK)

PRESIDENTIAL

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING
(Vote for One)

- **REPUBLICAN**
- **REFORM**
- **DEMOCRATIC**
- **LIBERTARIAN**

ELECTORS FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
(Vote for One)

REPUBLICAN
FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

GEORGE W. BUSH

DICK CHENEY

FOR ELECTORS

DONALD O'NESKY
BOB McDOWELL
JAMES CRUSON
STEVE BYAS
TOM PRINCE
KRISTAL MARKOWITZ
PAUL HOLLRAH
GEORGE WILAND

REFORM
FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

PAT BUCHANAN

EZOLA FOSTER

FOR ELECTORS

ROBERT KIWANIS BELL, JR.
ISABEL FAITH LYMAN
PATRICK S.J. CARMACK
IVETTE P. FARMER
EARL DAVID SHAFFER
WILLIAM BRUCE CHARLES
GREGORY D. BROWN
MARY PATRICIA ZIGLINSKI

DEMOCRATIC
FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

AL GORE

JOE LIEBERMAN

FOR ELECTORS

GEORGE NIGH
CARMA LEE BROCK
EDMUND SYNAR
BEULAH VERNON
JAY PARMLEY
RHONDA WALTERS
MARY JAC RAUH
OBERA BERGDALL

LIBERTARIAN
FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

HARRY BROWNE

ART OLIVIER

FOR ELECTORS

CHARLES BURRIS
AGNES REGIER
CHRISTINE M. KANE
MARY LAURENT
ANNE FRUITS
JACK LITHERLAND
DAVID LEWIS
LYN ATHERTON

STATE OFFICERS

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING
(Vote for One)

- **REPUBLICAN**
- **DEMOCRATIC**
- **LIBERTARIAN**

FOR CORPORATION COMMISSIONER
(Vote for One)

- **BOB ANTHONY,** Republican
- **GILBERT S. BIGBY,** Democrat
- **ROGER BLOXHAM,** Libertarian

CONGRESSIONAL OFFICERS

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING
(Vote for One)

- **REPUBLICAN**
- **REFORM**
- **DEMOCRATIC**
- **LIBERTARIAN**

FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE
DISTRICT NO. 1
(Vote for One)

- **STEVE LARGENT,** Republican
- **DAN LOWE,** Democrat
- **MICHAEL A. CLEM,** Libertarian

LEGISLATIVE AND COUNTY OFFICERS

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING
(Vote for One)

- **REPUBLICAN**
- **DEMOCRATIC**
- **LIBERTARIAN**

FOR STATE SENATOR
DISTRICT NO. 51
(Vote for One)

- **CHARLES R. FORD,** Republican
- **REGGIE SULLIVAN,** Democrat

FOR SHERIFF
(Vote for One)

- **STANLEY GLANZ,** Republican
- **DALE EBERLE,** Democrat

FOR COUNTY CLERK
(Vote for One)

- **EARLENE WILSON,** Republican
- **KENNETH R. PAUL,** Democrat

Vote Both Sides

SAMPLE BALLOT

TO VOTE: COMPLETE THE ARROW(S) ◄ ■ POINTING TO YOUR CHOICE(S), LIKE THIS: ◄—■

CONTINUE VOTING

JUDICIAL RETENTION

NOTICE TO VOTER:
Vote separately on each justice or judge; they are not running against each other.

JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT

DISTRICT NO. 3 — Shall MARIAN P. OPALA of the Oklahoma Supreme Court be retained in Office? **YES** ◄ ■ **NO** ◄ ■

DISTRICT NO. 4 — Shall YVONNE KAUGER of the Oklahoma Supreme Court be retained in Office? **YES** ◄ ■ **NO** ◄ ■

DISTRICT NO. 6 — Shall DANIEL J. BOUDREAU of the Oklahoma Supreme Court be retained in Office? **YES** ◄ ■ **NO** ◄ ■

DISTRICT NO. 7 — Shall HARDY SUMMERS of the Oklahoma Supreme Court be retained in Office? **YES** ◄ ■ **NO** ◄ ■

JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

DISTRICT NO. 4 — Shall RETA M. STRUBHAR of the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals be retained in Office? **YES** ◄ ■ **NO** ◄ ■

DISTRICT NO. 5 — Shall STEVE LILE of the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals be retained in Office? **YES** ◄ ■ **NO** ◄ ■

JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS

DISTRICT NO. 5 OFFICE NO. 1 — Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals be retained in Office? **YES** ◄ ■ **NO** ◄ ■

DISTRICT NO. 5 OFFICE NO. 2 — Shall CARL B. JONES of the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals be retained in Office? **YES** ◄ ■ **NO** ◄ ■

DISTRICT NO. 6 OFFICE NO. 1 — Shall JAMES P. GARRETT of the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals be retained in Office? **YES** ◄ ■ **NO** ◄ ■

DISTRICT NO. 6 OFFICE NO. 2 — Shall CAROL HANSEN of the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals be retained in Office? **YES** ◄ ■ **NO** ◄ ■

STATE QUESTIONS

STATE QUESTION NO. 684 — LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NUMBER 314

This measure amends the State Constitution. It amends Sections 2, 3 and 5 of Article XI, which deal with the School Land Trust. The United States established the Trust to benefit the State's common schools and certain State universities. The permanent school fund is part of that Trust. That trust fund must now forever remain intact and the State can never diminish it. The State can now only use the trust fund's income to aid schools.

The measure changes how the State could use the permanent school fund. The measure allows the State to use more than the fund's income to aid schools. The measure allows the State to diminish the fund itself to aid schools. The measure allows the State to use between 4¾% and 5½% of the market value of the fund for the last three years to aid common schools.

The measure also allows the State to use more than trust income to aid the specified universities. The measure allows the State to also diminish the trust fund established to aid the universities. The measure does not set a limit on the amount of trust funds the State could use to aid the universities.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES ◄ ■

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO ◄ ■

STATE QUESTION NO. 685 — LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NUMBER 315

This measure amends the State Constitution. It will allow a county to increase property taxes by up to two and one-half mills to support county health departments. The new tax will only be allowed in counties with more than 500,000 persons. The new tax must be approved by county voters.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES ◄ ■

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO ◄ ■

STATE QUESTION NO. 686 — LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NUMBER 316

This measure amends Section 23 of Article 10, of the State Constitution. The Section deals with the state budget. The change would let state colleges and universities make contracts with presidents for more than one (1) year, but not more than three (3) years. This measure also deletes some obsolete language.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES ◄ ■

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO ◄ ■

STATE QUESTION NO. 688 — LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NUMBER 317

This measure amends the State Constitution. It amends Section 3 of Article 28. Presently, wineries may sell their wine to consumers at the winery. They also may sell wine to wholesalers. They cannot sell wine to retail package stores. They cannot sell wine to restaurants. This act would allow Oklahoma wineries to sell their wine to retail package stores and restaurants in this state. They also could ship the wine directly to the retail package stores and restaurants that are licensed to sell liquor by the drink.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES ◄ ■

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO ◄ ■

STATE QUESTION NO. 690 — LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NUMBER 318

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It amends Sections 9 and 10 of Article 10. It affects certain school millage levies. The change is to the emergency levy, local support levy, and the building fund levy for school districts. It would allow each school district to decide to eliminate the need for an annual election for those levies. Once the levies are approved, the voters of the school district would also decide whether to allow them to continue from year to year. The vote on the levies would change only if another election is held and the voters rescind their prior action. Such an election would be held if a petition is signed or the board of education recommends an annual vote be taken.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES ◄ ■

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO ◄ ■

STATE QUESTION NO. 692 — LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NUMBER 320

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It adds Section 40 to Article 10. The measure creates the Tobacco Settlement Endowment Trust Fund. A percentage of the payments received by the state from tobacco companies shall be deposited in the trust fund. The percentage goes from 50% for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2002, to 75% for any fiscal year ending June 30, 2007, and after. Monies not deposited in the trust fund shall be subject to legislative appropriations. The trust fund would be managed by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors would consist of members appointed by various state officials. The trust fund monies could be invested according to certain standards. A Board of Investors would have the duty of investing the trust fund monies. The chair of the Board of Investors would be the State Treasurer. Other members would be appointed by various state officials. The earnings from the trust fund may be expended by the Board of Directors for certain purposes. The purposes include tobacco prevention and cessation programs, health care, education, other children's services and programs for senior adults. The measure allows the Legislature to pass laws to further implement this section.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES ◄ ■

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO ◄ ■

SAMPLE BALLOT

VOTE BOTH SIDES