MOVING TO PROBABILISTIC GENOTYPING

Todd W. Bille Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives Laboratory



History of DNA at the ATF Laboratory

DNA Unit created in May, 2006
 Initiated casework analysis in April, 2007
 3 DNA analyst initially, now up to 4...will be hiring four more in the very near future

Concentrating on Touch Evidence

- Typical Evidence
 Examined at ATF
 Laboratory
 - Guns
 - Bomb components
 - Molotov Cocktails
- >90% of our evidence samples are from "touch evidence"













Initial Methods - General

- Cotton swabs
- Double swab technique (wet/dry)
- Qiagen QiaAmp Micro DNA extraction
- Microcon 100 concentration
- Identifiler
- 3130 Genetic Analyzer
- AT: 50 rfu, ST: 200 rfu
- CPI for mixtures

Process Optimization - Things to Consider

- Collection
 - Type of swab
 - Fluid used
 - Collection method double swab (wet/dry)
- Extraction
 - What extraction method
 - Optimizing the extraction method
 - Maximize *amplifiable* DNA
- Concentration
 - Minimize the loss of DNA during the concentration step

Interpretation / Statistical Approach

Changes to Methods

- Swab textured areas before latent print processing then after dye-staining
- 2 X AL buffer modification to Qiagen QiaAmp Micro DNA extraction
- QiaAmp Investigator Kit DNA extraction
- Minimize amount of swab material placed in lysis buffer
- Microcon 30
- Carrier RNA used during Microcon
- Incorporation of 2p rule 2011
- RMP for mixtures 2011

Comparison of First Three Years to Last Three Years

% of Firearms Examined that Produced a DNA Profile Suitable for Comparison Purposes



Suitable for Comparison Purposes



Initial Methods

CPI Statistical Approach

- Stochastic Threshold of 200 rfu
- If a locus had one or more alleles below the stochastic threshold, the locus could not be used for statistical purposes
- This was true for single source samples, as well
- As a result, much data was being discarded

Initial Methods

CPI Statistical Approach

- DNA profiles containing no peaks above the stochastic threshold, even assumed single source profiles, could be used for exclusionary purposes only.
- Issues arise when suspect A excluded, suspect B can neither be included or excluded.

Needed to make a change

Change careers...

CPI

LR: Un-Restricted

RMP

Semi-Continuous

LR: Restricted



Application of Random Match Probability Calculations to Mixed STR Profiles Todd Bille M.Sc., Jo-Anne Bright M.Sc. and John Buckleton Ph.D. Journal of Forensic Sciences, Vol. 58, Issue 2, pages 474–485, March 2013

Random Match Probability (RMP)

- Makes better use of the observed data than CPI and unrestricted LR, but still does not use reference profile data like an LR
- Still a binary approach
- Interpretation documented prior to comparison to known samples
- Significant amount of time for interpretation
- Variation between analysts
- Outliers cause issues...



Documentation

Gars 8: Analyst:								<u> </u>	1	T								-
Data:		USCaucarian	USCaucarian 1 in 1.00E+00 OR			1	in	1									5	
Exhibit #		US African American	1	in	1.00E+00	OB	1	in	1								- 196	<u>-</u>
		USSouthwart						<u> </u>		<u> </u>								-
perage Mixture Rati		Hirpanic	1	in	1.00E+00	OR	1	in	1									
Alleler		D-1	1.5		••	-					-							
D#S117# Preres		Patantia	I GARBETPA C		tinkr					U U			AFFIC	ar Arti	144			
	1 - 1 - 1		3 allolor	-	4 allolor	1				f]#11-1-	f[\$]].1.	RMP	F[#11-1	f[\$].1.	RMP	FIGH-1-	<u> 6]611-1. R</u>	(MP
-									AB	ů ř	0		0	0		Ŏ	0	
									AC	0	0		0	0		0	0	
-	Zalloloz							<u> </u>	AD AX	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0		0		_
Parrible camplete H									BB	0			0			0		
larr of seastree?									BC	0	0		0	0		0	0	
-				+				<u> </u>	BD BX	0	0	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>				-+
									CC	0			0			0		
1									CD	0	0		0	0		0	0	
-									BMP:			1.000	v		1.000		1	.000
										_								_
D21511 Prezes		Patentia	l Genntype C	ambine	tinnr				Concarion				African Amorican		Hirpanic			
	1 allele		3 allolos		4 allolos					f[#11-1-	f[\$11-1.	RMP	6]#11-1	f[\$11-1-	RMP	f]#11-1-	6]#11-1. R	IMP
								<u> </u>	AA AD	0		<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>				-
								<u> </u>	AC AC	1 0	0	<u> </u>	0	l ö		0	0	-
	2 allolor								AD	0	0		0	0		0	0	
Results and shall								<u> </u>	AX PP	0			0	<u> </u>		0	_	_
Invr of constract									BC	Ŏ	0		Ŏ	0		Ŏ	0	
<u> </u>									BD	0	0		0	0		0	0	
			<u> </u>					<u> </u>	В8 СС		<u> </u>		0	<u> </u>			_	-+
Ī									CD	0	0		0	0		0	0	
-									CX PMP-	0		1 000	0		1 000	0	_	000
				<u> </u>					nnr:			1.000			1.000			
																		_
D75#20 Presen		Patentia	l Genntype C	ambine	tinnr					c	aucaria	-	Afric	an Amer	ican	Hi	spanic	
	1 allele		3 alleler		4 allolor					f]#11-1-	f]#11-1.	RMP	f]#11-1	f]#11-1-	RMP	f[8]]-1.	6]#11-1. R	IMP
									AA NO	0			0			0		_
				-					AB AC	0	0	<u> </u>	0			0	0	-
i <u> </u>	2 allolos								AD	0	0		0	0		0	0	
B									AX	0			0			0		-
Purrible complete H				+				<u> </u>	BC	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0			0	_
									BD	0	0		0	0		0	0	
									BX	0			0			0		-
									CD	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0			0	+
										-								
									CX	0			0			Ó		
				_					CX RMP:	0		1.000	0		1.000	Ö	1.	.000





D8S11789	Alleles Present	Potential Genotype Combinations										
	13	1 allele					3 alleles	4 alleles				
	14								13	14 <mark>y</mark>	15	16
	15	[13	15 <mark>n</mark>	14	16
	16								13	16 <mark>y</mark>	14	15
			2 al	leles								
Possible complete loss		N										
of gen	otype?											
-												
				+								
-												
-				++								
				+								



				Caucasian			Afric	an Americ	an	Hispanic			
				f(Allele 1) f(Allele 2)		RMP	f(Allele 1)	f(Allele 2)	RMP	f(Allele 1)	f(Allele 2)	RMP	
			AA										
	13	14	AB	0.339	0.202	0.137	0.222	0.333	0.148	0.325	0.246	0.160	
			AC										
I	13	16	AD	0.339	0.013	0.009	0.222	0.044	0.020	0.325	0.025	0.016	
Ī			AX										
1			BB										
	14	15	BC	0.202	0.110	0.044	0.333	0.214	0.143	0.246	0.116	0.057	
			BD										
			BX										
			CC										
	15	16	CD	0.110	0.013	0.003	0.214	0.044	0.019	0.116	0.025	0.006	
			CX										
			RMP:	0.192					0.329			0.239	

Binary Approach Issues



Probability of Drop-out Pr(D)

The probability that drop-out occurred associated with peaks below the stochastic threshold is not equal across the range of peak heights.





Probability of Drop-out Pr(D)

Therefore, it is not always conservative to use the 2p rule or drop the locus for statistical purposes.
 If the suspect is an A,B at the locus below, the Pr(D) approaches zero as the allelic peak nears the Stochastic Threshold and the 2p rule becomes less

conservative.



Peak Height Ratio Expectations

Similar to drop-out, binary methods do not differentiate between genotype combinations that may fall within expectations, but would be unlikely.

Peak Height Ratio Expectations

At the locus below, the A peak at 900 rfu can be expected to have a sister peak as low as 504 rfu. The B peak can have a sister peak as low as 355 rfu. Therefore, based on these expectations, the A,C / B,D combination *does* meet the peak height ratio expectations. However, it is much more likely that the mixture is composed of an A,B and C,D.



Binary Methods – Results outside expectations

- The ranges of the various parameters (stutter, mixture ratio, peak height ratio, etc.) cannot account for every incident.
- Events may occur outside expected ranges due to primer mutation, tri-allele loci, degradation, significant differences in allele size within a locus, etc.
- These events may occur randomly, as well. Ranges are typically defined by +/- three standard deviations. This range therefore should capture 99.7% of the events. This means 0.3% (or about 1 out of 300) events will fall outside the range.
- The analyst must be aware of this during interpretation.

Binary Methods – Results outside expectations

1:1 Mixture, 500 pg total DNA template





It would appear the 7 and 8 pair and the 9 and 10 pair.

Expected range goes as low as 497 rfu.

8,10 Genotype Excluded

This is a known mixture of an 8,10 and 7,9

Time of Transition



Probabilistic Approach

RMP

Desired aspects of continuous model

- Relatively user-friendly
- Published formulae, not a "black box"
- Made efficient use of data, e.g. peak height balance, probability of drop-out, stutter, mix ratio
- Ability to customize using data from our lab
 "Consistency"

Desired aspects of continuous model

- Cost
- Computer requirements
- Speed of analysis
- Ability to perform calculations by hand
- Ability to obtain an output file of calculations

STRmix™

- Relatively easy user interface
- Reasonable cost
- Can be run on a laptop or desktop computer
- Typical analysis times are 1 3 minutes, longer for more complex mixtures
- Supporting publications
- All formulae used are available
- Can produce output files showing all calculations if desired (very large file)

STRmix™

- Continuous Model
- Calculates an LR
- Uses Markov Chain Monte Carlo method (MCMC), therefore a different result will be calculated using the exact same data. However, results will be relatively close and the range can be defined during validation.
- Considers the following:
 - Degradation curve
 - Per allele stutter ratios
 - Drop-in
 - Drop-out
 - Peak variance
 - Locus-specific amplification efficiencies



Genotype combinations that best fit the observed data given greater weight
 All genotype combinations considered, less probable combinations (outliers) are given less weight

STRmix™

Models used in STRmixTM are developed from laboratory's data

- Model Maker
 - Approximately 90 samples
 - Varying quantities and qualitiesShould be done for each kit

Current Status

- All analysts have attended the one week STRmixTM training
- Software has been purchased and recently received
- Will begin the validation process this summer
- Awaiting input from various groups as to exactly what needs to be validated for this type of software

Thank you for your attention

Questions?