## Chapter 5 Buildings

Presenter: Robert Pekelnicky, PE, SE Degenkolb Engineers

## Reliability vs. Resiliency

## Safe $\neq$ Usable
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## Example Performance Matrix

Expected Hazard Level

Functional Category: Cluster

Critical Facilities

| Emergency Operation Centers | 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Responder Facilities | 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Acute Care Hospitals | 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Non-ambulatory Occupants (prisons, nursing homes, etc.) | 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Emergency Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary Emergency Shelters | 30\% | 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Single and Multi-family Housing (Shelter in place) | 60\% |  |  | 90\% |  |  |  |  | X |
| Housing/Neighborhoods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Retail |  | 30\% | 60\% | 90\% |  |  |  |  | X |
| Religious and Spiritual Centers |  |  | 30\% | 60\% | 90\% |  |  |  | X |
| Single and Multi-family Housing (Full Function) |  |  | 30\% |  | 60\% |  | 90\% |  | X |
| Schools |  |  | 30\% | 60\% | 90\% |  |  |  | X |
| Hotels \& Motels |  |  | 30\% |  | 60\% | 90\% |  |  | X |

## Community Recovery

| Businesses - Manufacturing |  |  |  | $30 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  |  | X |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Businesses - Commodity Services |  |  |  | $30 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  | $90 \%$ |  | X |
| Businesses - Service Professions |  |  |  | $30 \%$ |  | $60 \%$ |  | $90 \%$ | X |
| Conference \& Event Venues |  |  |  | $30 \%$ |  | $60 \%$ |  | $90 \%$ | X |

## Building Performance Levels

## A - Safe \& <br> Operational

B - Safe \& Usable During Repair

C - Safe \& Not Usable

D - Unsafe

## Interdependencies

- Power and water critical
- Most buildings need people to make them functional (i.e. the workers) - they must be able to get to the building
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- Adjacent buildings can pose hazards


## New Buildings

- Current standards do not explicitly deal with function protection for every hazard
- Code architectural, structural, mechanical requirements are sometimes not in alignment
- New building standards are easier to change than existing building requirements



## Existing Buildings

- Codes, standards, and building practice constantly evolving
- Structural Standards are typically not retroactive
- Retrofit often costly and disruptive
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## Strategies

- Local communities can tailor to their hazards and resilience desires
- Provide power and water self-sufficiency
- Prioritize what buildings are critical
- Balance mandatory, triggered, and voluntary upgrades



## Breakout Discussion Topics

- What are your experiences with building vulnerabilities that affect resilience?
- Are there elements within the building sector that have been overlooked in the framework?
- How can interdependences between critical buildings and other infrastructure be addressed?
- Can the recommendations in this chapter be implemented? If not, what changes are needed?

