

## **Estimating the Triple Point Isotope Effect and the Corresponding Uncertainties for Cryogenic Fixed Points**

W L Tew<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA

E-mail (corresponding author): [wtew@nist.gov](mailto:wtew@nist.gov)

**ABSTRACT**

We estimate the sensitivities of melting temperatures to isotopic variations in monatomic and diatomic atmospheric gases using both theoretical and semi-empirical methods. The current state of knowledge of the vapor pressure isotope effects (VPIE) and triple point isotope effects (TPIE) is briefly summarized for the noble gases (except He), and for selected diatomic molecules including oxygen. An approximate expression is derived to estimate the relative shift in the melting temperature with isotopic substitution. In general, the magnitude of the effects diminish with increasing molecular mass and increasing temperature. Knowledge of the VPIE, molar volumes, and heat of fusion are sufficient to estimate the temperature shift or isotopic sensitivity coefficient via the derived expression. The usefulness of this approach is demonstrated in the estimation of isotopic sensitivities and uncertainties for triple points of xenon and molecular oxygen for which few documented estimates were previously available. Our calculated sensitivities are considerably higher than previous estimates for Xe, and lower than other estimates in the case of oxygen. In both these cases the predicted sensitivities are small and the resulting variations in triple point temperatures due to mass fractionation effects are less than 20  $\mu$ K.

**KEYWORDS:**

Isotopes, Noble Gases, Atmospheric Gases, Triple Point, Vapor Pressure Isotope Effect, Temperature, Mass Fractionation, Xenon, Oxygen

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Most of the atmospheric gases are now commercially available in chemical purities approaching 99.9999 % or slightly better in some cases. As these gases are separated and purified they undergo phase changes with the potential to mass fractionate the final gas product. Many of these commercially prepared gases are now used as fixed-point temperature standards for the International Temperature Scale of 1990 (ITS-90) [1] below 0 °C. There has been a steady improvement in the reproducibility of the phase transition temperatures as the available chemical purities of these gases have increased [2]. In the course of these technological and metrological advances, the need has arisen to estimate the components of uncertainty which account for isotopic variations in the gas samples used in triple-point realizations.

While isotopic variations in many elemental and molecular materials are relatively well documented [3], the effect of these variations on the thermometric triple-point realizations is only partially documented and some gaps in our knowledge currently exist. The physics of the vapor pressure isotope effect (VPIE) has been understood since the successful theory of Bigeleisen [4]. In the case of the noble gases, a rather complete set of VPIE data are available for Ne, Ar, and Kr, while practically no data are available on Xe [5]. Similarly, a full set of data are available for H<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>, but there are no reliable VPIE data on O<sub>2</sub> below 63 K. In contrast, the triple point isotope effect (TPIE) is not well understood and very few quantitative predictions are available. The use of the established VPIE theory, however, can provide insight and allow estimation of the TPIE sensitivity coefficients in some cases. When the VPIE theory is applied together with some simplifying assumptions regarding the effects of isotopic solutions, calculations of uncertainty estimates for isotopic variations in the gas-based fixed points are possible.

## 2. VAPOR PRESSURE AND TRIPLE POINT ISOTOPE EFFECTS

The qualitative features of the vapor pressure isotope effect (VPIE) and triple-point isotope effect (TPIE) are illustrated in Fig. 1. For the class of substances considered in this paper, all exhibit the so-called “normal” VPIE where the vapor pressure of the lighter isotope is always greater than that of the heavier isotope at all temperatures in which a condensed phase exists [5].

In this case, two isotopes with atomic masses  $M$  and  $M'$  ( $M > M'$ ), vapor pressures  $p$  and  $p'$  ( $p < p'$ ), and triple points  $T_{\text{tp}}$  and  $T_{\text{tp}}'$  exhibit similar but shifted  $p$ - $T$  diagrams. In particular, the triple point shift  $\Delta T_{\text{tp}} \equiv T_{\text{tp}} - T_{\text{tp}}'$  coincides with a discontinuity in the relative volatility, normally expressed as  $\ln(p'/p)$ , between the solid ('s') and liquid ('l') phases. Results of the VPIE theory have been combined with the Eyring liquid structure theory by Jeevanandam [6] to explain the magnitude of the discontinuity  $\Delta \ln(p'/p) \equiv \ln(p'/p)_s - \ln(p'/p)_l$  in terms of the change in molar volumes  $V_s$  and  $V_l$  between the two phases. A simplified form of Jeevanandam's result is

$$\ln(p'/p)_l \cong \left( \frac{V_s}{V_l} \right) \ln(p'/p)_s, \quad (1)$$

where we have neglected the non-ideal behavior in both the liquid and the vapor and any isotopic dependence in the molar volumes.<sup>†</sup> We further restrict the discussion to systems exhibiting small VPIEs, or  $\ln(p'/p) \ll 1$  which necessarily excludes  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{He}$ . Equation 1 has been experimentally verified for the noble gases and the isotopic forms of  $\text{CO}$ . Given these assumptions, we will show below the triple point shift  $\Delta T_{\text{tp}}$  is approximately proportional to Jeevanandam's discontinuity. This will lead to a simple expression that is useful for predicting the magnitude of the TPIE.

The theoretical treatment for the VPIE involves thermodynamic relations for the reduced partition function ratio  $f$  for the condensed phase 'c' (s or l) and the vapor phase 'v' which are closely related to the relative volatility according to

$$\ln(f_c/f_v) \cong \ln(p'/p)_c \left( 1 + pB_p(T) - \frac{pV}{RT} \right) \quad (2)$$

where  $B_p(T)$  is the 2<sup>nd</sup> pressure virial coefficient,  $V$  is the molar volume of the vapor, and  $R$  is the gas constant. We neglect the non-ideal gas correction which is  $\leq 10\%$  at the triple points of the substances considered here. The theoretical expressions for  $\ln(f_c/f_v)$  can be simplified in terms of the lowest order quantum corrections associated with both external and internal degrees of freedom commonly expressed as

$$\ln(p'/p)_c \cong \ln(f_c/f_v) \cong \left( \frac{A_c}{T^2} - \frac{B_c}{T} \right) \quad (3)$$

---

<sup>†</sup> Equation 1 is not applicable to certain molecules with strong liquid phase association. This is the case most notably in water where use of equation 1 would predict the wrong sign for the VPIE.

where the coefficient  $A_c$  involves differences of summations  $\Sigma(v_i'^2 - v_i^2)_c$  over external modes  $v_i$  of the condensed phase and  $B_c$  involves differences of summations over the internal modes in both condensed and vapor phases [5]. Hence, the  $B$  term plays no role in the calculations of the noble gas VPIEs and in practice it is often acceptable to neglect the  $B$  term for some diatomic molecules as well.

Given these considerations, it is natural to approximate the total vapor pressure of a condensed phase in the following form,

$$\ln(p)_c \cong F_c(T) + \frac{a_c}{T^2} \quad (4)$$

where  $F_c(T)$  contains the phase-dependent but isotope-independent functional form for the vapor pressure and  $a_c$  contains the isotope dependence implied by Eq. 3 such that  $A_c = a'_c - a_c$ . At  $T = T_{tp}$  we have  $\ln(p)_s = \ln(p)_l$  which leads to the result,

$$T_{tp}^2 = \frac{a_s - a_l}{F_l(T_{tp}) - F_s(T_{tp})} \quad (5)$$

Equation 5 is essentially the same as that used by Bigeleisen[4] for a related derivation in Section V of his original article, but in our case we will now re-cast the equation in a form that is more readily applied to thermometry. From this result, in combination with Eq. 3, it is straightforward to show that the isotope shift is proportional to Jeevanandam's discontinuity or ,

$$\frac{\Delta T_{tp}}{T_{tp}} \cong \frac{1}{2} \frac{T_{tp}^2 - T_{tp}'^2}{T_{tp}^2} \cong \frac{\Delta \ln(p'/p)}{2(F_l(T_{tp}) - F_s(T_{tp}))} \quad (6)$$

The numerator of Eq. 6 can now be combined with Eq. 1 to yield,

$$\frac{\Delta T_{tp}}{T_{tp}} \cong \frac{\ln(p'/p)_l}{2(F_l(T_{tp}) - F_s(T_{tp}))} \frac{\Delta V}{V_s} \quad (7)$$

where  $\Delta V = V_l - V_s$  is the change in the molar volume from the liquid to solid phase at the triple point. Equation 7 is shown in terms of the relative volatility in the liquid phase at the triple point and the solid molar volume, but according to Eq. 1 it should be equally valid for the

complementary combination  $\ln(p'/p)_s \Delta V/V_l$ . The form given in Eq. 7, however, is better suited to identifying empirical trends since far more VPIE data are available for the liquid phase than for the solid phase.

The leading terms in  $F_l(T)$  and  $F_s(T)$  are  $-h_{\text{vap}}/RT$  and  $-h_{\text{sub}}/RT$  respectively where  $h_{\text{vap}}$  and  $h_{\text{sub}}$  are the enthalpies of vaporization and sublimation. Since at the triple point the enthalpy of fusion is  $h_{\text{fus}} = h_{\text{sub}} - h_{\text{vap}}$ , the difference  $F_l(T_{\text{tp}}) - F_s(T_{\text{tp}})$  is  $\sim h_{\text{fus}}/RT_{\text{tp}}$  which is  $\sim 1.7$  for the rare gases. While order-of-magnitude estimates may be obtained using this approximation, there are other constant and temperature-dependent terms in both  $F_l(T_{\text{tp}})$  and  $F_s(T_{\text{tp}})$  which will contribute to the difference. Normally, these terms are treated as empirical fitting constants for developing experimental vapor pressure equations. For our purposes here we treat the factor  $[F_l(T_{\text{tp}}) - F_s(T_{\text{tp}})]^{-1}$  of Eq. 7 as a single empirical parameter or ‘triple-point constant’  $k_E \sim 1$  which is fit to the VPIE data for a given element ‘E’ where the triple point shift is known. In general  $k_E$  will be different for each chemical species. Hence, our final result from Eq. 7 is given by,

$$\frac{\Delta T_{\text{tp}}}{T_{\text{tp}}} \cong k_E \frac{\ln(p'/p)_l}{2} \frac{\Delta V}{V_s}. \quad (8)$$

It is readily seen from Eqs. 7 or 8 that in the limit of small VPIEs, the TPIE is a product of two small quantities and therefore essentially a second-order effect since  $\Delta V/V_s$  does not exceed 15 % in the noble gases and is  $\leq 16$  % for the diatomic species considered below. In addition, since the VPIE theory predicts that  $\ln(p'/p) \sim T^{-2}$  and  $\ln(p'/p) \sim \Delta M/MM'$ , the same scaling laws should hold true for the TPIE. Furthermore, in a crude way  $T_{\text{tp}} \sim M$  between elements, so the relative isotope shift  $\Delta T_{\text{tp}}/T_{\text{tp}}$  should scale  $\sim \Delta M/M^3$ . This explains why the TPIE is primarily a problem confined to the cryogenic range of light-element fixed points.

### 3. NOBLE GASES

For the noble gases Ne, Ar, and Kr, reasonably complete data for both VPIE and TPIE exist.[5] A selected sub-set of this data is summarized in Table I. In the case of Xe, there are no experimental VPIE data derived from differential pressure measurements. There are only the attempts by Clusius[7] to measure the related fractionation factor  $\ln(\alpha) \sim \ln(p'/p)_l$  in distillation

experiments which failed, evidently because the effect was too small to measure. Other later distillation work[8] has purported to resolve a finite value for  $\ln(\alpha) \sim 1 \times 10^{-4}$  at 165 K. In general such distillation measurements are less accurate than differential pressure VPIE values, so we need another way to account for the true magnitude of the VPIE in Xe.

Fortunately, two recent theoretical calculations of  $\ln(f_l/f_v)$  are available for Xe. Lopes *et. al.* [9] applied the integral equation theory for Lennard-Jones fluids to calculate VPIE parameters for the noble gas series in the liquid phase. Their treatment yields  $\ln(f_l/f_v) = 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$  at a reduced temperature of  $T^* = 0.75$  for the isotope pair  $^{130}\text{Xe}$ - $^{136}\text{Xe}$ . When scaled by  $T^2$  to the triple point ( $T^* = 0.715$ ) we have  $\ln(f_l/f_v)_{TP} = 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ . It is worth noting that Lopes *et. al.* identify a scaling relationship of  $\ln(f_l/f_v) \sim \Delta M/M^3$  based on a corresponding states argument, which is the same scaling we have argued above should exist for the relative TPIE.

In an independent and nearly simultaneous paper, Chialvo and Horita[10] report similar VPIE calculations for the noble gases based on numerical simulations of atomic Lennard-Jones fluids and solids. In their case, the results are in terms of the fractionation factor  $\ln(\alpha(T))_c$  which is nearly equivalent to  $\ln(f_l/f_v)$ . Their calculations for the isotope pair  $^{132}\text{Xe}$ - $^{136}\text{Xe}$  yield  $\ln(\alpha_{132-136}(T_{tp}))_l = 0.000215$  and  $\ln(\alpha_{132-136}(T_{tp}))_s = 0.000265$  for the liquid and solid respectively. These results must be scaled by the ratio of the mass differences (6/4) to compare with the  $^{130}\text{Xe}$ - $^{136}\text{Xe}$   $\ln(f_l/f_v)_{TP}$  value from Lopes *et. al.* as described above. The scaling yields,  $\ln(\alpha_{130-136}(T_{tp}))_l = 0.000323$  which is within 8 % of the Lopes *et. al.*  $\ln(f_l/f_v)_{TP}$  results. It is worth noting that the values used by the two groups for the Lennard-Jones energy parameter  $\varepsilon$  for Xe differ by  $\sim 2.8$  %. For the values of  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  given in Table I, we have averaged these two calculated values for the Xe TP.

The VPIE and TPIE data for Ne, Ar and Xe from Table 1 are plotted in Fig. 2 in the form derived in Eq. 8. These noble-gas triple-point constants  $k_{NG}$  range from 1.2 to 1.7. This close correspondence between the noble gas elements is expected given that Eq. 8 is effectively in a reduced form. The correspondence is imperfect because of the approximations which have been made in deriving Eq. 8. This is particularly true for Ne, where non-ideal behavior is expected to be the largest and the magnitude of the 4<sup>th</sup> order quantum (i.e.  $\sim h^4$  in the Kirkwood-Wigner

expansion for the calculation of  $A_i$  terms are not negligible [10]. For these reasons, we identify the linear trend which matches that of Kr to be the best estimate for the corresponding TPIE of Xe. The resulting extrapolation from Kr yields  $k_{Xe}=1.2$  and a value of  $\Delta T_{tp}/T_{tp}=28 \mu K/K$  or  $\Delta T_{tp} = 4.5 \text{ mK}$  for the  $^{130}\text{Xe}$ - $^{136}\text{Xe}$  substitution. The rough estimate provided by  $k_{Xe} \sim RT_{tp}/h_{fus}$  is a factor of 2 lower than the empirical value.

In order to turn the above estimate of  $\Delta T_{tp}$  for a binary substitution into a single sensitivity coefficient for an isotopic mixture, such as the nine naturally-occurring Xe isotopes, it is necessary to make some simplifying assumptions. The first is that of ‘perfect’ isotopic solutions, where the triple point  $T_{mix}$  of a mixture of two isotopes  $^iE$  and  $^jE$  of an element ‘E’ is linear in the either mole fraction  $X_i$  or  $X_j$ . In this simple case the mean atomic weight of the binary mixture is  $A_{mix}(E) = X_i M_i + X_j M_j$  and  $dT_{mix}/A_{mix} = (T_j - T_i)/(M_j - M_i) = \text{constant}$ , where  $T_j$  and  $T_i$  are the pure component triple points. This is consistent with the small VPIE approximation of  $\ln(f_c/f_v) \ll 1$  and  $\Delta M/M \ll 1$  and the general scaling relationship already identified  $\Delta T \sim \ln(f_c/f_v) \sim \Delta M$ . As more isotopes are considered it is only necessary to assume a linear temperature-mass approximation for any combination in order to prove that two arbitrary binary mixtures 1 and 2 will have the same  $T_{mix}$  when  $A_{mix-1} = A_{mix-2}$ . The result can be generalized to arbitrary multi-component mixtures to show that  $T_{mix}$  is linear in  $A_{mix}$  or  $dT_{mix}/dA_{mix} = \text{constant}$ . For the lightest atoms, this approximation is less accurate due to the VPIE scaling for  $\ln(p'/p) \sim \Delta M/MM'$ . For example, in the case of Ne,  $(T_{21} - T_{20})$  and  $(T_{22} - T_{20})/2$  should differ by  $(22/21) - 1 \cong 5\%$ .

The estimated TPIE shift  $\Delta T_{130-136} = 4.5 \text{ mK}$  is then readily converted into a more useful parameterization as a mass sensitivity coefficient,  $dT_{Xe}/dA(Xe) = 4.5 \text{ mK}/6 \text{ u}$ , or  $0.75 \text{ mK/u}$ . This result is a factor of 3 to 4 times larger than two such estimates given by Hill and Steele[11]. They obtained  $0.18 \text{ mK/u}$  from a linear extrapolation of  $\log(dT_{tp}/dA)$  versus  $A$  for the noble gas series, and they cite another independent estimate of  $0.23 \text{ mK/u}$  attributed to A. Van Hook<sup>¥</sup>. To test any of these predictions, samples of Xe would need to be enriched (or depleted) in the heavier isotopes by known amounts to more than 10 % in  $A(Xe)$  from its normal composition while

<sup>¥</sup> Van Hook’s estimate for  $dT_{Xe}/dA(Xe)$  is actually consistent with our analysis if the value given in reference 7 for  $\ln(p'/p)$  is used instead of the new theoretical estimates from references [9] and [10] which we have used.

maintaining chemical impurities  $\leq 0.1 \mu\text{mol/mol}$ . Such samples are not readily available and would be expensive to prepare.

#### 4. DIATOMIC MOLECULES

The liquid phase VPIE data are available for the isotopic mixtures of diatomic molecules:

$\{^{14}\text{N}_2, ^{15}\text{N}_2\}$ ;  $\{^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}, ^{12}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}\}$ ;  $\{^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}, ^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}\}$ ; all three main variations of  $\{^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}, ^x\text{N}^y\text{O}\}$ ; and  $\{^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{18}\text{O}_2\}$ . Data are also available for the linear tri-atomic variation of  $\{^{14}\text{N}_2^{16}\text{O}, ^{15}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}\}$ . In the case of  $\text{O}_2$ , there are no differential pressure data for  $T < 63 \text{ K}$ , and only one set of distillation data below that point. In the solid phase, only the CO series VPIE has been measured. TPIE data are also available for all of these systems except for oxygen. An abridged summary of these VPIE and TPIE data is given in Table II.

In order to estimate the TPIE for  $\{^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{18}\text{O}_2\}$ , we need to first establish a value for  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  near the triple point temperature. In the absence of any detailed VPIE calculations, we use the established VPIE data which exists above 63 K and extrapolate down to the triple point at 54.358 K. Figure 3 shows differential pressure data for the VPIE of these isotopic molecules. The data from Clusius[12] for  $\{^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{18}\text{O}_2\}$  are in good agreement with that from Johns[13] for  $\{^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{18}\text{O}^{16}\text{O}\}$ , as they differ by the expected factor of 2 to better than 1 %. By extrapolating the functional forms for  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  as derived by those authors (i.e. fitted forms of Eq. 3) to 54.358 K, the factor of 2 in the VPIE ratios continues to hold true. Based on this extrapolation, we calculate  $\ln(p'/p)_l = 0.037$  for  $\{^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{18}\text{O}_2\}$  at the triple point, as is indicated in Table II.

The difficulty in the case of oxygen is that we have no empirical guide for  $k_{\text{O}_2}$  other than that provided by other similar diatomic molecules. A rough estimate is provided by  $k_{\text{O}_2} \sim RT_{\text{tp}}/h_{\text{fus}} = 1.02$ , but based on TPIE data from similar diatomic molecules, this estimate could be as much as factor of  $\sim 3$  too low. Fig. 4 shows that each molecular series exhibits a different slope (i.e. values for  $k_E$ ) for the TPIE to VPIE data. The values of  $k_E$  range from 0.33 to 2.0, with  $k_{\text{N}_2} = 1.14$  for  $\text{N}_2$  being very close to that of Kr. The relatively low values of  $k_{\text{NO}}$  for the NO series are related to the fact that NO exhibits association in the liquid phase through the formation of dimers [14], which results in the relatively high values of  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  but apparently has less of an

effect on the triple point temperatures. Even in the case of CO and N<sub>2</sub>, however, there is a significant difference in the triple point constants and these two molecules normally exhibit a high degree of correspondence. The only possible improvement to this situation might come from a special application of corresponding states to a detailed theory for the value of  $k_E$ , which is beyond the scope of this paper.

In the absence of any other quantitative information for oxygen, we assume that  $k_{O_2} = 1.17 \pm 0.83$  for oxygen and consider the value sufficiently uncertain to accommodate the entire range of known TPIE/VPIE proportionalities. This results in a range of TPIEs for  $\{^{16}O_2, ^{18}O_2\}$  of  $\Delta T_{tp}/T_{tp} = (730 \pm 520) \mu K/K$  or  $\Delta T_{tp} = (40 \pm 28) mK$ . The nominal value of  $\Delta T_{tp}/T_{tp}$  is close to the value for the  $\{^{36}Ar, ^{40}Ar\}$  system. Expressed as a sensitivity coefficient we have  $dT_{O_2}/dA(O_2) = (10 \pm 7) mK/u$ . By comparison, even the upper limit of 17 mK/u is 3.7 times smaller than a recent upper bound estimate of 62.5 mK/u given by Pavese[2] and ~6 times smaller than an earlier estimate[15]. The large uncertainty of  $k_{O_2}$  is of little practical consequence in thermometry due to the small variations of  $^{18}O$  among terrestrial sources of oxygen as will be seen in the following section.

## 5. UNCERTAINTIES IN THE TRIPLE POINTS DUE TO ISOTOPIC VARIATIONS

In this section we give examples of how the triple point temperatures of actual gas samples may be expected to vary from known isotopic variations in commercial sources of gas as well as in other terrestrial sources in the case of oxygen. We treat three of the ITS-90 defined fixed points, Ne, Ar, and O<sub>2</sub>, as well as Xe, which has been suggested as a suitable fixed point for the purposes of future scale definitions [11].

### 5.1 Xenon

The isotopic variations in commercial sources of Xe gas are given for six samples by Hill and Steele [11]. Since those isotopic assays are incomplete, listing concentrations for only seven of the nine isotopes, we analyze those and other reported Xe compositions from the literature in

terms of the fractionation trends in the ratios  $^{129}\text{Xe}/^{132}\text{Xe}$  and  $^{131}\text{Xe}/^{132}\text{Xe}$ . These three Xe isotopes are the most abundant, so those ratios would in general be expected to have highest accuracy. The analysis assumes that all gases have been subjected to similar fractionation effects in the course of purification and that the degree of fractionation is correlated in any two isotope ratios  $R_{i,k}$  and to  $R_{j,k}$  according to

$$R_{i,k} = R_{i,k}^0 \left( \frac{R_{j,k}}{R_{j,k}^0} \right)^{(M_i - M_k)/(M_j - M_k)}, \quad (9)$$

where  $R_{j,k} \equiv N(^j\text{Xe})/N(^k\text{Xe})$  and  $R_{j,k}^0$  refers to an initial or nominal composition, in our case the recommended [3] value for Xe in the earth's atmosphere. Equation 9 can be used to calculate a mass fractionation line on the isotope ratio plot and also allows the atomic weight  $A(\text{Xe})$  to be calculated for samples with known isotope ratios based on the assumed correlation.

The data on the ratios  $^{129}\text{Xe}/^{132}\text{Xe}$  and  $^{131}\text{Xe}/^{132}\text{Xe}$  from commercial gas sources as reported in reference 10 and elsewhere in the literature are shown in Fig. 5. The mass fractionation line is referred to the composition of the Xe isotope standard IRMM-2000[16]. Much of the data are uncertain to the point where it could be argued that all of the samples are practically equivalent. A maximum-to-minimum variation in the relative atomic weight of these gases of  $\Delta A_{\text{com}}(\text{Xe})/A(\text{Xe}) \sim 215 \mu\text{u/u}$ , however, can not be ruled out. Assuming that this apparent isotopic variability is real, and a sensitivity of  $0.75 \text{ mK}\cdot\text{u}^{-1}$  derived above, this corresponds to variability in the Xe TP of only  $21 \mu\text{K}$ . Since this is a 'worst-case' estimate, the actual uncertainty in the TP realization in practice would be less than this and would have no measurable impact in the reproducibility of the fixed point.

It should be noted that one of the samples studied by Hill and Steele, NRC-SG03, is from a specially prepared batch of Xe which is probably the highest chemical purity Xe gas ever commercially produced with a Kr impurity  $< 0.05 \mu\text{mol/mol}$ . The lighter noble gas impurity can only be removed by multiple distillations, so it is probably not a coincidence that this sample appears to be the lightest commercial Xe of any reported. Even in this extreme case, the isotopic variability in Xe appears to be relatively unimportant to the triple point temperature.

## 5.2 Argon

A similar analysis can be done for the Ar isotopes, but in this case a point on the three-isotope plot of  $^{36}\text{Ar}/^{40}\text{Ar}$  versus  $^{38}\text{Ar}/^{40}\text{Ar}$  uniquely specifies the composition. Unlike the other noble gases, Ar is nearly monoisotopic with  $X_{40\text{Ar}}=99.6\%$ . Therefore, any mass fractionation is expected to be small. This is evident for Fig. 6, which shows the known variations in high-purity commercial Ar as reported in the literature. Based on these data, the maximum-to-minimum variation in the atomic weight of commercial Ar gas is  $\Delta A_{\text{com}}(\text{Ar})/A(\text{Ar}) < 8\ \mu\text{u/u}$  and the corresponding variability of  $\sim 5\ \mu\text{K}$  in the triple point is completely negligible.

## 5.3 Neon

A full treatment of the TPIE in neon is being presented in another paper in this symposium with new experimental data.[36] A three-isotope plot for Ne similar to Figs. 5 and 6 appears in that paper. We only remark here that the degree of fractionation exhibited in commercial neon gas is much larger than previously thought [3]. The data now suggest that relative variations in the neon atomic weight from commercial gas sources to be  $\Delta A_{\text{com}}(\text{Ne})/A(\text{Ne}) \cong 324\ \mu\text{u/u}$ . This variation is  $\sim 10$  times larger than the currently recommended uncertainty [3] of  $30\ \mu\text{u/u}$  in the Ne atomic weight. For a nominal TPIE sensitivity of  $73.5\ \text{mK/u}$ , this implies variations in the Ne TP of  $\Delta T_{\text{NeTP-com}} \cong 0.48\ \text{mK}$ , or  $\Delta T_{\text{NeTP-com}}/T_{\text{NeTP}} \cong 20\ \mu\text{K/K}$ . In comparison, acoustic gas thermometers using  $^4\text{He}$  can now achieve uncertainties in this temperature range of  $<10\ \mu\text{K/K}$ [37] which indicates that the current “natural isotopic composition” definition [1] used for the Ne TP in the ITS-90 is inadequate.

## 5.4 Oxygen

The isotopic variations of oxygen in the terrestrial environment are well documented [38]. However, very little information is available in the case of commercial  $\text{O}_2$  gas. Measurements on

two commercial gas bottles from the 1970s are reported by Tiggelman [39] as ~50 ‰ and 52 ‰ enriched in  $^{18}\text{O}$  with respect to reference oxygen derived from Standard Mean Ocean Water (SMOW). This is to be compared with the composition in the earth's atmosphere which is 23.8 ‰ enriched. It is also possible to produce  $\text{O}_2$  gas in the laboratory by thermal decomposition of mineral oxide reagents [40] or by electrolysis of water, all of which exhibit some range of isotopic variation.

An abridged summary of both anthropogenic and natural sources of oxygen are shown in Table III. The first column of  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{x,\text{SMOW}}$  is defined in the usual way

$$\delta^{18}\text{O}_{x,\text{SMOW}} = (R_{18}(x) - R_{18}(\text{SMOW})) / R_{18}(\text{SMOW}) \quad (10)$$

for an isotope ratio  $R_{18}(x) = N(^{18}\text{O})/N(^{16}\text{O})$  of the sample 'x' with respect to SMOW. The  $^{17}\text{O}$  content is calculated according to equation 9 where  $R_{j,16} \equiv N(^j\text{O})/N(^{16}\text{O})$  for  $j=17, 18$  except that the exponent is 0.52 instead of 0.50 [41]. The contribution of the  $^{17}\text{O}$  content to the predicted  $\Delta T$  values is only ~6 % of the total effect, so the exact exponent used in equation 9 is not important. The final column in table 3 is the maximum temperature shift with respect to a SMOW-equivalent  $\text{O}_2$  gas based on the maximum mass sensitivity coefficient of 17 mK/u. From these values it is clear that  $\text{O}_2$  TP realizations using gas derived from different sources would not be expected to differ by more than ~16  $\mu\text{K}$ . The only possible exceptions would be if a highly unusual source, such as commercial CO gas, was used as a precursor for an  $\text{O}_2$  gas synthesis. Furthermore,  $\text{O}_2$  derived from mineral oxide decomposition and that derived by commercial distillation of air would be expected to have triple points differing by no more than ~10  $\mu\text{K}$ .

## 6. CONCLUSION

The TPIE of the noble gases as well as some linear molecules is predictable from knowledge of the VPIE for those substances. Even when no VPIE data are available, some reasonable estimates or calculations for  $\ln(p/p)$  are possible. The mass sensitivity coefficients can be calculated for both Xe and  $\text{O}_2$  to within uncertainty bounds of ~20 % and ~70 % respectively. The triple point mass sensitivity coefficient predicted here for Xe is ~4 times greater than other

predictions given elsewhere. In contrast, our upper bound coefficient for O<sub>2</sub> is a factor ~4 to 6 times smaller than other previous predictions. Using our estimated sensitivities, the predicted variations in triple point temperatures of Ar, O<sub>2</sub>, and Xe due to mass fractionation effects are less than 20 μK.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Preston-Thomas, *Metrologia* **27**: 3 (1990), *ibid.*: 107 (erratum).
- [2] F. Pavese, *Metrologia* **42**: 194 (2005).
- [3] J. K. Bohlke, *et. al.*, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **34**: 57 (2005).
- [4] J. Bigeleisen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **34**: 1485 (1961).
- [5] G. Jansco, and W. A. Van Hook, *Chem Rev.* **74**: 689 (1974).
- [6] M. Jeevanandam, *J. Chem. Phys.* **55**: 5735 (1971).
- [7] K. Clusius, *Z. Phys. Chem., Abt. B.* **50**: 403 (1941).
- [8] V. M. Grigor'ev, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* **36**: 1779 (1962).
- [9] J. N. Canongia Lopes, A. A. H. Pádua, L. P. N. Rebelo, and J. Bigeleisen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **118**: 5028 (2003).
- [10] A. A. Chialvo, and J. Horita, *J. Chem. Phys.* **119**: 4458 (2003).
- [11] K. D. Hill, and A. G. Steele, *Metrologia* **42**: 278 (2005).
- [12] K. Clusius, F. Endtinger, and K. Schleich, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **44**: 98 (1961).
- [13] T. F. Johns, in "Proceedings of the International Symposium on isotope Separation," J. Kistemaker, J. Bigeleisen, and A. Nier, eds. (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1958) pp. 74-101.
- [14] J. Bigeleisen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **33**: 1775 (1960).
- [15] F. Pavese, in *Temperature: Its Measurement and Control in Science and Industry*, Vol. 6, Part 1, D. C. Ripple, ed. (AIP Conf. Proc., Melville, New York, 2003), pp. 167-172.
- [16] Valkiers S., Aregbe Y., Taylor P. D. P., De Bièvre P., *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Proc.*, **173**, 55-63 (1998).
- [17] J. Bigeleisen, and E. Roth, *J. Chem. Phys.* **35**: 68 (1961).
- [18] Furukawa G T *Metrologia* **8**: 11 (1972).
- [19] M. W. Lee, S. Fuks and J. Bigeleisen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **53**: 4066 (1970).
- [20] M. W. Lee, D. M. Eshelman, and J. Bigeleisen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **56**: 4585 (1972).
- [21] K. Clusius, K. Schleich, and M. Vecchi, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **42**: 2654 (1959).
- [22] K. Clusius and K. Schleich, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **41**: 1342 (1958).
- [23] K. Clusius and K. Schleich, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **44** : 1162 (1961).
- [24] W. Groth, H. Ihle, and A. Murrenhoff. *Angew. Chem.* **68**: 644 (1956).
- [25] F. Pavese and G. Molinar, "Modern Gas-Based Temperature and Pressure Measurements", 544 p, (1992).
- [26] J. R. Basford, J. C. Dragon, R. O. Pepin, M. R. Coscio, and M. R. Murthy, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, Supp. 4, Vol. 2, pp. 1915-1955 (1973).
- [27] F. A. Podosek, J. C. Huneke, D. S. Burnett, and G. J. Wasserburg, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **10**, 199-216 (1971).
- [28] S. Valkiers, F. Schaefer, P. De Bièvre, in: *Separation Technology*, E. F. Vansant, ed. (North Holland, Elsevier, 1993), pp. 965-968.
- [29] Y. Aregbe, S. Valkiers, K. Mayer, P. De Bièvre, R. M. Wessel and A. Alink, *Metrologia* **35**: 7 (1998).
- [30] A. O. Nier, *Phys. Rev.* **79**: 450 (1950).
- [31] F. L. Mohler, "Isotopic Abundance Ratios Reported for Reference Samples Stocked by the National Bureau of Standards", *NBS Technical Note 51*, US Dept. of Commerce, Washington DC, USA, May 1960.
- [32] C. E. Melton, W. Massey, and B. N. Abels, *Z. Naturforsch.*, **26a**, 1241 (1970).
- [33] M.R. Moldover, J.P.M. Trusler, T.J. Edwards, J.B. Mehl, and R.S. Davis, *J. Res. NBS* , **93**, 85-144 (1988).
- [34] G Benedett,o R.M. Gavioso, R., Spagnolo, P. Marcarino, A. Merlone, 2004 *Metrologia* **41** 74-98.
- [35] Quinn T J, Colclough A R and Chandler T R D: *Phil Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond.*, **A283**, 367-420 (1976).

- [36] Pavese, *et. al.* These Proceedings., *Int. J. Thermophys.*, (submitted).
- [37] L. Pitre, M. R. Moldover, and W. L. Tew, *Metrologia* **43**: 142 (2006).
- [38] T. B. Coplen, *et. al.*, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **74**: 1987 (2002).
- [39] Tiggelman J L “Low-temperature platinum thermometry and vapour pressures of neon and oxygen”  
Doctoral Thesis, Leiden, 1973.
- [40] G. T. Furukawa, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.)* **91**: 255 (1986).
- [41] H. A. Meijer, and W. J. Li, *Isotopes Environ. Health Studies* **34**: 349 (1998).

**Table I.** VPIE and TPIE data and estimates for the noble gas series.

Isotope pair	$\ln(p'/p)_l$ <sup>[a]</sup>	$\Delta V/V_s$ <sup>[b]</sup>	$T_{tp}$ (K) <sup>[c]</sup>	$\Delta T_{tp}/T_{tp}$	$k_E$
$^{20}\text{Ne}, ^{22}\text{Ne}$ <sup>[d]</sup>	0.046	0.150	24.69	$6.0 \times 10^{-3}$	1.7
$^{36}\text{Ar}, ^{40}\text{Ar}$ <sup>[e]</sup>	0.0066	0.146	83.806	$7.0 \times 10^{-4}$	1.5
$^{80}\text{Kr}, ^{84}\text{Kr}$ <sup>[f]</sup>	0.0010	0.144	115.78	$8.6 \times 10^{-5}$	1.15
$^{130}\text{Xe}, ^{136}\text{Xe}$ <sup>[g]</sup>	0.00031	0.148	161.4	$2.8 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$

[a] Values taken at  $T=T_{tp}>T_{tp}'$ .

[b] Values derived from tabulated molar densities in Reference [25].

[c] Approximate temperatures for heavier isotope on ITS-90.

[d]  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  and  $\Delta T_{tp}$  data : References [17] and [18].[e]  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  and  $\Delta T_{tp}$  data : Reference [19].[f]  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  and  $\Delta T_{tp}$  data : Reference [20].[g]  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  taken from References [9] and [10]; and  $\Delta T_{tp}$  extrapolated (see text).**Table II.** VPIE and TPIE data and estimates for selected diatomic and tri-atomic molecules.

Isotope pair	$\ln(p'/p)_l$ <sup>[a]</sup>	$\Delta V/V_s$	$T'_{tp}$ (K) <sup>[b]</sup>	$\Delta T_{tp}/T_{tp}$	$k_E$
$^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}, ^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$ <sup>[c]</sup>	0.011	0.087	68.15	$9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	1.95
$^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}, ^{12}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}$ <sup>[c]</sup>	0.0081			$6.9 \times 10^{-4}$	1.86
$^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}, ^{15}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}$ <sup>[d]</sup>	0.0325	0.160	109.49	$9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.35
$^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}, ^{14}\text{N}^{18}\text{O}$ <sup>[d]</sup>	0.0447			$1.27 \times 10^{-3}$	0.355
$^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}, ^{15}\text{N}^{18}\text{O}$ <sup>[d]</sup>	0.0770			$2.03 \times 10^{-3}$	0.33
$^{14}\text{N}_2, ^{15}\text{N}_2$ <sup>[e]</sup>	0.0132	0.084	63.15	$6.3 \times 10^{-4}$	1.14
$^{14}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}, ^{15}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}$ <sup>[f]</sup>	0.00189	0.20	182.26	$3.3 \times 10^{-4}$	1.75
$^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{16}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}$ <sup>[g]</sup>	0.0184	0.034	54.358	$3.7 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.17 \pm 0.83$
$^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{18}\text{O}_2$ <sup>[h]</sup>	0.0370			$7.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.17 \pm 0.83$

[a] Values taken at  $T=T_{tp}>T_{tp}'$ .

[b] Approximate temperatures for lighter isotope on ITS-90.

[c]  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  and  $\Delta T_{tp}$  data : Reference [13].[d]  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  and  $\Delta T_{tp}$  data : Reference [21].[e]  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  and  $\Delta T_{tp}$  data : Reference [22].[f]  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  and  $\Delta T_{tp}$  data : Reference [23].[g]  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  extrapolated from data in Reference [13]; and  $\Delta T_{tp}$  inferred (see text).[h]  $\ln(p'/p)_l$  extrapolated from data in Reference [12]; and  $\Delta T_{tp}$  inferred (see text).

**Table III.** An abridged summary of the isotopic variations in natural and anthropogenic sources of oxygen. The triple point shifts  $\Delta T_{\text{Max}}$  are calculated using the maximum predicted mass sensitivity coefficient of 17 mK/u (see text).

Source <sup>[a]</sup>	$\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{VSMOW}}$ (‰) <sup>[c]</sup>	$^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ , (‰)	$^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ , (‰)	$\Delta M$ (μu/u)	$\Delta T_{\text{Max}}$ (mK)
mineral -low	-15.5	1.9741	0.3768	-4.1	-0.002
VPDB	30.91	2.0672	0.3860	8.1	0.004
mineral -high	40	2.0854	0.3877	10.5	0.006
Atmospheric	23.8	2.0529	0.3846	6.2	0.003
VSMOW	0	2.0052	0.3799	0.0	0.000
Cont. Water -low	-62.8	1.8792	0.3673	-16.5	-0.009
Cont. Water -High	31.3	2.0679	0.3860	8.2	0.004
CO comm. gas	-229	1.5460	0.3319	-60.3	-0.033
N <sub>2</sub> O	109	2.2237	0.4009	28.6	0.016
O <sub>2</sub> com. Gas A <sup>[b]</sup>	49.9	2.1053	0.3897	13.1	0.007
O <sub>2</sub> com. Gas B <sup>[b]</sup>	52.4	2.1103	0.3901	13.7	0.007
pure $^{16}\text{O}_2$ <sup>[d]</sup>	-1000	0.0000	0.0000	-274.4	-0.150
pure $^{18}\text{O}_2$ <sup>[d]</sup>					68

[a] Values taken from reference [38] unless otherwise noted.

[b] Commercial gas compositions as reported in Reference [39].

[c] ‰ $\equiv 1 \times 10^{-3}$

[d] Calculated Estimates.

## Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** Qualitative features of the normal VPIE and TPIE for two isotopes. A.) The  $p$ - $T$  diagrams for two isotopes shows higher vapor pressures for the lighter isotope and a higher triple point for the heavier isotope. B.) The relative volatility versus temperature shows how the triple-point shift coincides with a discontinuity in  $\ln(p'/p)$ . The features have been exaggerated for clarity and neither figure is to scale.

**Figure 2.** TPIE and VPIE data for the noble gas series Ne through Xe from the literature. The data are for  $\{^{20}\text{Ne}, ^{22}\text{Ne}\}$ ,  $\{^{36}\text{Ar}, ^{40}\text{Ar}\}$ ,  $\{^{80}\text{Kr}, ^{84}\text{Kr}\}$ , and  $\{^{130}\text{Xe}, ^{136}\text{Xe}\}$ . The value of  $\ln(p'/p)$  for Xe is taken from VPIE calculations and the  $\Delta T/T$  value is based on the assumed value  $k_{\text{Xe}}=1.2$  being close to that of Kr.

**Figure 3.** The VPIE data for the isotopic pairs:  $\{^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O}\}$  from Johns[13] and Groth[24];  $\{^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{18}\text{O}_2\}$ , from Clusius[12]; and  $\{^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}, ^{12}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}\}$  also from Johns[13]. The extrapolations of the Johns and Clusius data to the triple point temperature for  $\text{O}_2$  yield values for  $\ln(p'/p)$  in the expected ratio of 2.0 .

**Figure 4.** TPIE and VPIE data for diatomic molecules and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ . The range of observed triple point constants is  $k_E=0.34$  to 2.0 . The  $\Delta T/T$  values for  $\{^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{18}\text{O}_2\}$  and  $\{^{16}\text{O}_2, ^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O}\}$  are based on an assumed proportionality of  $k_{\text{O}_2}=1.17 \pm 0.83$ , close to that of  $\{^{14}\text{N}_2, ^{15}\text{N}_2\}$  .

**Figure 5.** Isotope ratio plot for reported values of various commercial Xe gas. The uncertainties for the NRC samples [11] are not shown for clarity but are estimated to be 0.5 %. The mass fractionation line is calculated with respect to the Xe isotope reference gas IRMM-2000 [16] on which the currently recommended atomic weight [3] is based. The other data are taken from the literature: Nier 1950 [30]; IRMM 1994 [28]; IRMM L'Air Liquide 1998 [29]; Podosek 1971 [27]; Basford 1973 [26]; Nief NBS-104 and Dibelier NBS-104 [31]; Khynhov 1989, as cited in [11] and Hill & Steele 2005 [11].

**Figure 6.** Isotope ratio plot for reported values of various commercial and other separated Ar gas. The two NBS samples Ar-A and Ar-B are from Moldover [33] where the mean atomic weights are known, but not the individual ratios. The other data are taken from the literature: Nier 1950 [30]; IRMM 1994 [28]; Melton 1970 [32]; Quinn 1976 [35]; IRMM/IEN 2004 [34] . 'CAWIA' is the recommended composition[3].




Figure 1.




Figure 2.




Figure 3.




Figure 4.




Figure 5.




Figure 6.